arXiv:1506.03216v1 [math-ph] 10 Jun 2015

Poisson geometry related to Atiyah sequences

K. Mackenzie, A. Odzijewicz, A. Sliżewska

June 11, 2015

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Sheffield
Sheffield, S3 7RH
United Kingdom
K.Mackenzie@sheffield.ac.uk

Institute of Mathematics
University in Białystok
Ciołkowskiego 1M, 15-245 Białystok, Poland
aodzijew@uwb.edu.pl, anetasl@uwb.edu.pl

Abstract

We construct and investigate a short exact sequence of Poisson $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids (see (86)) which is canonically related to the Atiyah sequence of a G-principal bundle P. Our results include a description of the structure of the symplectic leaves of the Poisson groupoid $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G}\rightrightarrows\frac{T^*P}{G}$. The semidirect product case, which is important for applications in Hamiltonian mechanics, is also discussed.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids **3**
- 2.1 $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids 4
- 2.2 The core of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid 5
- 2.3 The dual of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid 5
- 2.4 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids and their duals 6
- 2.5 Quotients of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over group actions 8

3 The dual Atiyah sequence **10**
- 3.1 Principal bundles 10
- 3.2 The symplectic dual pair 13
- 3.3 The dual Atiyah sequence 14
- 3.4 Relationship between the symplectic dual pair and the dual Atiyah sequence 16

4 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over gauge groupoids 21
4.1 The tangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid of a gauge groupoid 21
4.2 The cotangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid of a gauge groupoid 23
4.3 Symplectic leaves of duals of Atiyah sequences 26

5 Applications in Hamiltonian mechanics of semidirect products 28

1 Introduction

For many physical systems the configuration space is the total space of a principal bundle $P(M, G)$ over a base manifold M which parametrizes the external degrees of freedom of the system under consideration. That is, there is a symmetry group G which acts freely on P with a quotient manifold $P/G = M$ and the projection $P \to M$ is locally trivial. In this situation the action of G lifts to the phase space T^*P with quotient manifold T^*P/G. The action of G on T^*P is by symplectomorphisms and so the symplectic structure descends to a Poisson structure on T^*P/G. This quotient space and its Poisson structure encode the mechanics and the symmetry of the original system.

The typical situation is when the Hamiltonian of the system is invariant with respect to the cotangent lift of the action of G on P. Then the quotient manifold T^*P/G becomes the reduced phase space of the system. For example the above happens in rigid body mechanics [8] or when one formulates the equation of motion of a classical particle in a Yang-Mills field: the electromagnetic field is a particular case [12, 13].

When the action of G is lifted to TP the quotient manifold TP/G is the Atiyah algebroid of $P(M.G)$, or equivalently the Lie algebroid of the associated gauge groupoid. There is a natural structure of vector bundle on TP/G with base M, and sections of this correspond to vector fields on P which are invariant under the group action; these are closed under the Lie bracket and define a bracket on the sections of $TP/G \to M$.

There are interesting geometric objects which include TP/G and T^*P/G as their crucial components. In this paper we will consider the Atiyah sequence (37) and the dual Atiyah sequence (38) as well as the symplectic dual pair (32). From the dual Atiyah sequence we also construct a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids (77) over the gauge groupoid $\frac{P \times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$. The concept of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid [10] is recalled in Section 2. The short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids which we construct and study (see in particular the diagrams (77) and (86)) relate the various algebraic and Poisson structures inherent in the situation.

Even the case $P = G$ is very productive from the point of view of the applications in Hamiltonian mechanics as well as Lie groupoid theory. Note here that the cotangent groupoid $T^*G \rightrightarrows T^*_eG$ is the symplectic groupoid of the Lie-Poisson structure of the dual $T^*_eG = T^*G/G$ of the Lie algebra T_eG of G, see [2]. As we will see, for a general principal bundle P the cotangent groupoid $T^*G \rightrightarrows T^*_eG$ is replaced by the symplectic groupoid $T^*(\frac{P \times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$ which one obtains by the dualization (in the sense of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid dualization [10, 7]) of the tangent prolongation groupoid $T(\frac{P \times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows T(P/G)$ of the gauge groupoid.

We now describe the contents of each section in detail.

Section 2 is concerned with aspects of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids: their duality, principal actions of a Lie group G on a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid, and short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids. The main results are presented in Theorem 4 and Theorem 10, which show that for $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids, and for short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids, the operations of dualization and quotient, commute.

In Section 3 we recall the definitions of Atiyah sequence and dual symplectic pair and describe the relationship between these notions. Using this relationship we describe in detail the 'double fibration' structure of the symplectic leaves of T^*P/G; see Theorem 15.

In Section 4, we extend the Atiyah sequence (37) and its dual (38) to short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over the gauge groupoid, see (77) and (86) respectively. From the point of view of Poisson geometry the most important structures are described by the diagram (86), in which all objects are linear Poisson bundles and all arrows are their morphisms. Consequently, using (86), we obtain various relationships between the Poisson and vector bundle structures of T^*P/G and $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G}$, as well as the groupoid structures of $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$ and $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$.

The case when P is a group H and $G = N$ is a normal subgroup of H is discussed in Section 5. Some geometric constructions useful in Hamiltonian mechanics of semidirect products, see [5, 6, 9, 11], are also presented in this section.

2 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids

The groupoids with which the paper will be chiefly concerned are the tangents and cotangents of gauge groupoids, and certain groupoids related to them. For any groupoid $\mathscr{G}$ the tangent bundle $T\mathscr{G}$ has both a Lie groupoid and a vector bundle structure and these are related in a natural way. Owing to the relations between these structures, the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathscr{G}$ also has a natural Lie groupoid structure, and indeed is a symplectic groupoid with respect to the canonical cotangent symplectic structure [3].

The relations between the groupoid and vector bundle structures on $T\mathscr{G}$ were abstracted by Pradines [10] to the concept of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid, and [10] showed that for a general $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid Ω, dualizing the vector bundle structure on Ω leads to a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid structure on Ω^*. We recall this construction in §§2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. For further detail, see [7, Chap. 11].

In §2.4 we show that, under a natural condition, short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids may be dualized; see Theorem 4. We then show that when the base of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid is the total space of a principal bundle, the group of which acts on, and preserves the structure of, the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid, the quotient spaces form another $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid (Theorem 5). Finally in §2.5 we show that the two processes — taking the quotient and taking the dual — commute (Theorem 10).

2.1 $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids

We consider manifolds with both a groupoid structure and a vector bundle structure. The compatibility condition between the groupoid and vector bundle structures can be described succinctly by saying that the groupoid multiplication must be a morphism of vector bundles and that the vector bundle addition must be a morphism of groupoids. In fact these conditions are expressed by a single equation. In order to formulate the equation several conditions on the source, target and bundle projections are necessary.

Consider a manifold Ω with a groupoid structure on base B, and a vector bundle structure on base $\mathscr{G}$. Here B is to be a vector bundle on base P and $\mathscr{G}$ is to be a Lie groupoid on the same base P. (At present P is an arbitrary manifold; later it will denote a principal bundle.) These structures are shown in Figure 1.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\lambda}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\widetilde{\mathbf{t}}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}"] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift right, "\mathbf{t}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\mathbf{s}"] \\
B \arrow[r, "\lambda"] & P
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 1

The crucial equation, as described above, is

$$(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(\eta_1 + \eta_2) = \xi_1\eta_1 + \xi_2\eta_2, \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_i \in \Omega$. In order for $\xi_1 + \xi_2$ to be defined we must have $\widetilde{\lambda}(\xi_1) = \widetilde{\lambda}(\xi_2)$ where $\widetilde{\lambda} : \Omega \to \mathscr{G}$ is the bundle projection. Likewise we must have $\widetilde{\lambda}(\eta_1) = \widetilde{\lambda}(\eta_2)$. For $\xi_1\eta_1$ to be defined we must have $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_1) = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}(\eta_1)$ and likewise we must have $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_2) = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}(\eta_2)$. If we impose the conditions that the source and target projections $\Omega \to B$ are morphisms of vector bundles, then it will follow that the product on the left hand side of (1) is defined. Likewise, if $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is a morphism of groupoids, then the addition on the right hand side will be defined. We now state the formal definition.

Definition 1. A $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid consists of four manifolds Ω, B, $\mathscr{G}$, P together with Lie groupoid structures $\Omega \rightrightarrows B$ and $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ and vector bundle structures $\Omega \to \mathscr{G}$ and $B \to P$, such that the maps defining the groupoid structure (source, target, identity inclusion, multiplication) are morphisms of vector bundles, and such that the map

$$\xi \mapsto (\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\xi), \widetilde{\lambda}(\xi)), \quad \Omega \to B \times_P \mathscr{G} = \{(b, \gamma) \mid \lambda(b) = \mathbf{s}(\gamma)\} \tag{2}$$

formed from the bundle projection $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and the source projection $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}$, is a surjective submersion.

The need for the final condition will be clear in §2.2. The map in (2) may be denoted $(\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, \widetilde{\lambda})$. Also, we refer to $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ as the *side groupoid* and to $B \to P$ as the *side vector bundle.*

The condition that the identity inclusion be a morphism of vector bundles is the first equation in (3) below. It follows that $\widetilde{1}_{0_p} = \widetilde{0}_{1_p}$; that is, the groupoid identity over a zero element of B is the zero element over the corresponding identity element of $\mathscr{G}$. Further, $\widetilde{1}_{-b} = -\widetilde{1}_b$ for $b \in B$. Since multiplication and identity are morphisms of vector bundles, it follows in the usual way that inversion is also a morphism of vector bundles, and this is the second equation in (3).

$$\widetilde{1}_{b_1+b_2} = \widetilde{1}_{b_1} + \widetilde{1}_{b_2}, \qquad (\xi+\eta)^{-1} = \xi^{-1} + \eta^{-1}. \tag{3}$$

Next, the zero sections define a morphism of groupoids. To see this, first consider sources. By the definition, $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}} \circ \widetilde{0} = 0 \circ \mathbf{s}$, since $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\mathbf{s}$ constitute a morphism of vector bundles. But this can also be read as stating that $\widetilde{0}$ and 0 commute with the sources. In the same way, $\widetilde{0}$ and 0 commute with the targets and the multiplication, and are therefore a morphism of Lie groupoids. So we have the first two equations below.

$$\widetilde{0}_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \widetilde{0}_{\gamma_1}\widetilde{0}_{\gamma_2}, \qquad \widetilde{0}_{\gamma^{-1}} = \widetilde{0}_{\gamma}^{-1}, \qquad (-\eta)(-\xi) = -\eta\xi. \tag{4}$$

The third equation follows by a similar argument.

2.2 The core of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid

A necessary ingredient in the duality of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids is the concept of core. The *core* K of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega; B; \mathscr{G}; P)$ is the intersection of the kernel of the bundle projection $\widetilde{\lambda} : \Omega \to \mathscr{G}$ with the kernel of the source $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}} : \Omega \to B$.

Equivalently, K is the preimage under $(\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, \widetilde{\lambda})$ of the closed submanifold $\{(0_p, 1_p) \mid p \in P\}$. Since $(\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, \widetilde{\lambda})$ is assumed to be a surjective submersion, K is a closed submanifold of Ω.

The core inherits a vector bundle structure, with base P. Define $\lambda_K : K \to P$ by $k \mapsto \mathbf{s}(\widetilde{\lambda}(k))$. For $k_1, k_2 \in K$ with $\lambda_K(k_1) = \lambda_K(k_2)$, the sum $k_1 + k_1 \in \Omega$ is defined and is in K. The vector bundle conditions are easily verified.

Example 2.1. For any Lie groupoid $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$, the groupoid $T\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows TP$ obtained by applying the tangent functor to the structure of $\mathscr{G}$, is a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid, $(T\mathscr{G}; TP, \mathscr{G}; P)$. The core is the Lie algebroid $A\mathscr{G}$.

When $\mathscr{G}$ is a Lie group G, the multiplication in the tangent group TG is given by (8) and TG is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $G \ltimes T_eG$. For general groupoids $T\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows TP$ is not a groupoid semi-direct product.

2.3 The dual of a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid

Since $\widetilde{\lambda} : \Omega \to \mathscr{G}$ is a vector bundle, it has a dual bundle $\widetilde{\lambda}_* : \Omega^* \to \mathscr{G}$. Somewhat surprisingly, this has a natural Lie groupoid structure with base K^* and these structures make $(\Omega^*; K^*, \mathscr{G}; P)$ a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid [10].

Take $\Phi \in \Omega^*_\gamma$ where $\gamma = \widetilde{\lambda}(\Phi) \in \mathscr{G}$ has source $p = \mathbf{s}(\gamma)$ and target $q = \mathbf{t}(\gamma)$. Then the target and source of Φ in K^*_q and K^*_p respectively are defined to be

$$\langle \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_*(\Phi), k \rangle = \langle \Phi, k\widetilde{0}_\gamma \rangle, \ k \in K_q, \qquad \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_*(\Phi), k \rangle = \langle \Phi, -\widetilde{0}_\gamma k^{-1} \rangle, \ k \in K_p. \tag{5}$$

The lack of symmetry is unavoidable: in defining the core one must take either the kernel of $\mathbf{s}$ or of $\mathbf{t}$.

For the composition, take $\Psi \in \Omega^*_\delta$ with $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_*(\Psi) = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_*(\Phi)$. To define $\Psi\Phi \in \Omega^*_{\delta\gamma}$ we must define the pairing of $\Psi\Phi$ with any element of $\Omega_{\delta\gamma}$. Now any element ζ of $\Omega_{\delta\gamma}$ can be written as a product $\eta\xi$ where $\eta \in \Omega_\delta$ and $\xi \in \Omega_\gamma$. We claim that

$$\langle \Psi\Phi, \eta\xi \rangle = \langle \Psi, \eta \rangle + \langle \Phi, \xi \rangle \tag{6}$$

is well defined. Any other choice of η and ξ must be $\eta\tau^{-1}$ and $\tau\xi$ for some τ with $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\tau) = \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\eta) = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}(\xi)$. Also, τ must project to $1_q \in \mathscr{G}$ where $q = \mathbf{s}(\delta)$, since $\eta\tau^{-1}$ must lie in the same fibre over $\mathscr{G}$ as η.

Write $b = \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\tau)$. Then $\tau - \widetilde{1}_b$ is defined and is a core element, say k. Now we have $\eta\tau^{-1} = \eta(\widetilde{1}_b + k)^{-1} = (\eta + \widetilde{0}_\delta)(\widetilde{1}_b + k^{-1}) = \eta + \widetilde{0}_\delta k^{-1}$ so

$$\langle \Psi, \eta\tau^{-1} \rangle = \langle \Psi, \eta \rangle + \langle \Psi, \widetilde{0}_\delta k^{-1} \rangle.$$

Proceeding in the same way, we likewise find that

$$\langle \Phi, \tau\xi \rangle = \langle \Phi, \xi \rangle + \langle \Phi, k\widetilde{0}_\gamma \rangle.$$

From $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_*(\Psi) = \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}_*(\Phi)$ we know that $-\langle \Psi, \widetilde{0}_\delta k^{-1} \rangle = \langle \Phi, k\widetilde{0}_\gamma \rangle$, so (6) is well-defined.

We now define the identity element of Ω^* at $\chi \in K^*_p$. To do this we need to pair $\widetilde{1}_\chi$ with elements of Ω which project to $1_p \in \mathscr{G}$. Take such an element ξ and write $b = \widetilde{\lambda}(\xi)$. Then $\xi - \widetilde{1}_b$ is a core element k and we define

$$\langle \widetilde{1}_\chi, \widetilde{1}_b + k \rangle = \langle \chi, k \rangle. \tag{7}$$

It is now straightforward to check the proof of the following result.

Proposition 2. *Given a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega, B, \mathscr{G}; P)$, the construction above yields a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega^*; K^*, \mathscr{G}; P)$ with core B^*.*

Given $\omega \in B^*_p$, we identify it with the element $\overline{\omega} \in \Omega^*$ defined by

$$\langle \overline{\omega}, \widetilde{1}_b + k \rangle = \langle \omega, b + \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}(k) \rangle.$$

Example 2.2. Given a Lie groupoid $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$, the dual of the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(T\mathscr{G}; \mathscr{G}, TP; P)$ is $(T^*\mathscr{G}; \mathscr{G}, A^*\mathscr{G}; P)$ where $A\mathscr{G}$ is the Lie algebroid of $\mathscr{G}$. The groupoid $T^*\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows A^*\mathscr{G}$ is a symplectic groupoid with respect to the canonical symplectic structure on $T^*\mathscr{G}$ [3, 15].

2.4 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids and their duals

The main constructions of the paper rely on dualizing short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids and in this subsection we give the basic results for this process. First we need the concept of morphism.

A *morphism of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids* $(\Omega; B, \mathscr{G}; P) \to (\Omega'; B', \mathscr{G}'; P')$ is a quadruple of maps $F : \Omega \to \Omega'$, $f_B : B \to B'$, $f_{\mathscr{G}} : \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}'$ and $f : P \to P'$ such that $(F, f_{\mathscr{G}})$ and (f_B, f) are morphisms of vector bundles, and (F, f_B) and $(f_{\mathscr{G}}, f)$ are morphisms of Lie groupoids.

It follows that F restricts to a vector bundle morphism of the cores $f_K : K \to K'$.

For the purposes of this paper we only need consider the dualization of morphisms which preserve $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$.

Proposition 3. *Let* $F : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ *and* $f_B : B_1 \to B_2$*, together with the identity maps on* $\mathscr{G}$ *and* P*, be a morphism of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids* $(\Omega_1; B_1, \mathscr{G}; P) \to (\Omega_2; B_2, \mathscr{G}; P)$*. Then the dual maps* $F^* : \Omega_2^* \to \Omega_1^*$ *and* $f_K^* : K_2^* \to K_1^*$ *together with the identity maps on* $\mathscr{G}$ *and* P *are a morphism of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids* $(\Omega_2^*; K_2^*, \mathscr{G}; P) \to (\Omega_1^*; K_1^*, \mathscr{G}; P)$*.*

The proof is a straightforward verification. We will apply the duality of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids to structures in which the side groupoid is a gauge groupoid, or is closely related to a gauge groupoid. At the moment we continue to allow the base manifold P to be arbitrary; later in the section it will denote a principal bundle.

A *short exact sequence of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids* consists of three $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids and two morphisms, as shown in Figure 2, such that the three $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids have the same side groupoid $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ and the morphisms (F, f_B) and (H, h_B) preserve $\mathscr{G}$ and P, and such that $\Omega_1 \xrightarrow{F} \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{H} \Omega_3$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over $\mathscr{G}$. This includes the conditions that the kernel of F is the zero bundle over $\mathscr{G}$ and that the image of H is Ω_3, as well as exactness at Ω_2.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, "F"'] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, equal] & & & & \\
B_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "f_B"'] & P \arrow[drr, equal] & \Omega_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, "H"'] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & B_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "h_B"'] & P \arrow[drr, equal] & \Omega_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
& & & & B_3 \arrow[r] & P
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2.

Theorem 4. *Consider a short exact sequence of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids, as just defined and as shown in Figure* 2*. Then:*

(i) $B_1 \xrightarrow{f_B} B_2 \xrightarrow{h_B} B_3$ *is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over* P*;*

(ii) $K_1 \xrightarrow{f_K} K_2 \xrightarrow{h_K} K_3$ *is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over* P*, where* K_i *is the core of* Ω_i*, and* f_K*,* h_K *are the induced morphisms of the cores;*

(iii) *the dualization of the short exact sequence of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids over* $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ *results in the situation shown in Figure* 3*, which is therefore also a short exact sequence of* $\mathcal{VB}$*–groupoids.*

Proof. For (i) and (ii) there are six conditions to establish. We give three representative proofs.

First we prove that $f_B : B_1 \to B_2$ is injective. Take $b_1 \in B_1$ and suppose that $f_B(b_1) = 0$. Then $F(1_{b_1}) = 1_{f_B(b_1)} = 1_0$. It is also true that $F(1_0) = 1_{f_B(0)} = 1_0$ so since F is injective we have $1_{b_1} = 1_0$ and therefore $b_1 = 0$.

Secondly we prove that $h_K : K_2 \to K_3$ is surjective. Take $k_3 \in K_3$. Since $K_3 \subseteq \Omega_3$ and $H : \Omega_2 \to \Omega_3$ is surjective, there is $\xi \in \Omega_2$ such that $H(\xi) = k_3$. Now if ξ projects to $g \in \mathscr{G}$ it follows that k_3 also projects to g; since k_3 is a core element we have $g = 1_m$ for some $m \in P$. Now write $X \in B_2$ for the source of ξ. We have $h_B(X) = 0$.

The subtraction $\xi - \widetilde{1}_X$ is defined since both project to 1_m in $\mathscr{G}$. And $\xi - \widetilde{1}_X$ has source $X - X = 0$ so is a core element. Now $H(\xi - \widetilde{1}_X) = k_3 - \widetilde{1}_0$ and $\widetilde{1}_0 = \widetilde{0}_1$, the zero element in the fibre over 1_m. So $\xi - \widetilde{1}_X$ is a core element which is mapped by H to k_3.

Thirdly we prove exactness at K_2. Since f_K and h_K are restrictions of F and H it follows from $H \circ F = 0$ that $h_K \circ f_K = 0$. Now suppose that $h_K(k_2) = 0$ where $k_2 \in K_2$. By exactness at Ω_2, there exists $\xi_1 \in \Omega_1$ such that $F(\xi_1) = k_2$. Write $b_1 = \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}(\xi_1)$. Then $f_B(b_1) = 0$ and since f_B is injective, it follows that $b_1 = 0$. Now $\widetilde{\lambda}(\xi_1) = \widetilde{\lambda}(k_2) = 1_p$ for some $p \in P$, so $\xi_1 \in K_1$. So the sequence of cores is exact at K_2.

The other conditions are proved in the same way.

Now apply Proposition 3 to F and H as morphisms of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids. It follows that F^* and H^* are morphisms of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids as shown in Figure 3.

Since $\Omega_1 \xrightarrow{F} \Omega_2 \xrightarrow{H} \Omega_3$ is a short exact sequence of vector bundles over $\mathscr{G}$, the duals form a short exact sequence $\Omega_3^* \xrightarrow{H^*} \Omega_2^* \xrightarrow{F^*} \Omega_1^*$, again of vector bundles over $\mathscr{G}$. This completes the proof of (iii). ☐

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_3^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, "H^*"] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, equal] & & & & \\
K_3^* \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "h_K^*"'] & P \arrow[drr, equal] & \Omega_2^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, "F^*"] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & K_2^* \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "f_K^*"'] & P \arrow[drr, equal] & \Omega_1^* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \mathscr{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
& & & & K_1^* \arrow[r] & P
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 3.

2.5 Quotients of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over group actions

We now need to establish that this dualization process commutes with quotienting over a group action. In the cases which we consider, the base manifold P is a principal

G-bundle and we need to quotient over the action of G. In this situation the required quotient manifolds exist, and the constructions are straightfoward.

First consider vector bundles over the total space P of a principal bundle. A *PBG-vector bundle over P* is a vector bundle $E \to P$ together with an action $E \times G \to E$ by vector bundle automorphisms over the principal action $\kappa : P \times G \to P$.

Denote the orbit of $e \in E$ by $\langle e \rangle$. The projection $\overline{\lambda} : E/G \to P/G = M$ is defined by $\overline{\lambda}(\langle e \rangle) = \mu(q(e)$ where $\lambda : E \to P$ is the bundle projection of E. Take $\langle e \rangle, \langle e' \rangle \in E/G$ such that $\overline{\lambda}(\langle e \rangle) = \overline{\lambda}(\langle e' \rangle)$. Then there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $\lambda(e') = \lambda(e)g$. We define $\langle e \rangle + \langle e' \rangle = \langle eg + e' \rangle$ and $t\langle e \rangle = \langle te \rangle$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It remains to prove that $E/G \to P/G$ is locally trivial; for this and the rest of the proof of the following proposition see [7, §3.1].

Proposition 5. *Let E be a PBG-vector bundle over $P(M, G, \mu)$. Then the quotient manifold E/G exists, and has a vector bundle structure with base $P/G \cong M$, such that the natural projection $E \to E/G$ is a vector bundle morphism over μ.*

The case $E = TP$ arises in constructing the Atiyah sequence of a Lie algebroid.

There is a corresponding notion for Lie groupoids. A *PBG-groupoid over $P(M, G)$* is a Lie groupoid $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ together with an action $\mathscr{G} \times G \to \mathscr{G}$ by groupoid automorphisms over the principal action $P \times G \to P$ ([7, 2.5.4]).

Denote the orbit of $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$ by $\langle \gamma \rangle$. The source and target maps $\overline{\mathbf{s}} : \mathscr{G}/G \to P/G = M$ and $\overline{\mathbf{t}} : \mathscr{G}/G \to P/G = M$ are defined by $\overline{\mathbf{s}}(\langle \gamma \rangle) = \mu(\mathbf{s}(\gamma))$ and $\overline{\mathbf{t}}(\langle \gamma \rangle) = \mu(\mathbf{t}(\gamma))$. Given $\langle \gamma \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma' \rangle$ with $\overline{\mathbf{s}}(\langle \gamma \rangle) = \overline{\mathbf{t}}(\langle \gamma' \rangle)$ there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $\mathbf{s}(\gamma)g = \mathbf{t}(\gamma')$. We define

$$\langle \gamma \rangle \, \langle \gamma' \rangle = \langle (\gamma g)\gamma' \rangle.$$

That $\mathscr{G}/G \rightrightarrows P/G$ is a groupoid is straightforward to check. The remaining details of the following proposition can be found in [7, §3.1].

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a PBG-groupoid over $P(M, G, \mu)$. Then the quotient manifold $\mathscr{G}/G$ exists, and has a Lie groupoid structure with base $P/G \cong M$, such that the natural projection $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}/G$ is a morphism of Lie groupoids over μ.*

See [7, 2.5.5] for the proof. These two constructions can be combined so as to apply to $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids.

Definition 7. Let $P(M, G, \mu)$ be a principal bundle. A *PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid over $P(M, G)$* is a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega; B, \mathscr{G}; P)$ together with right actions of G on each of the manifolds Ω, B and $\mathscr{G}$ such that $\Omega \rightrightarrows B$ and $\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows P$ are PBG-groupoids and $B \to P$ is a PBG-vector bundle.

Proposition 8. *Let $(\Omega; B, \mathscr{G}; P)$ be a PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid over $P(M, G, \mu)$ with core K. Then the quotient manifolds Ω/G, B/G, $\mathscr{G}/G$ and K/G exist, and form a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega/G; B/G, \mathscr{G}/G; P/G)$ with core K/G such that the natural maps $\Omega \to \Omega/G$, $B \to B/G$, $\mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{G}/G$ and $P \to P/G = M$, constitute a morphism of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids.*

The proof only requires assembling the results of Propositions 5 and 6.

We now need to establish that this quotienting process commutes with dualization. Consider a PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $(\Omega; B, \mathscr{G}; P)$ and its dual $(\Omega^*; K^*, \mathscr{G}; P)$. Equip Ω^* and K^* with the contragredient actions of G; that is,

$$\langle \Phi g, \xi \rangle = \langle \Phi, \xi g^{-1} \rangle, \qquad \langle \phi g, k \rangle = \langle \phi, k g^{-1} \rangle,$$

for $\Phi \in \Omega_\gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathscr{G}$, $\xi \in \Omega_{\gamma g}$, $g \in G$, $\phi \in K^*$ and $k \in K$.

Proposition 9. *With the structures just defined, $(\Omega^*; K^*, \mathscr{G}; P)$ is a PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid and the canonical maps*

$$\Omega^*/G \to (\Omega/G)^*, \qquad K^*/G \to (K/G)^*,$$

together with the identities on $\mathscr{G}$ and P, constitute an isomorphism of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids.

The proof is a lengthy but straightforward verification.

Finally in this section we need to consider the preservation of exact sequences of PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids under dualization and quotient. The proof is a straightforward application of the techniques used above.

Theorem 10. *In the short exact sequence shown in Figure* 2 *assume that each $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid has the structure of a PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid with respect to a principal G-bundle structure on P, and that F and H are G-equivariant. Equip the dual sequence, shown in Figure* 3*, with the contragredient G-actions. Then the dual short exact sequence is also a short exact sequence of PBG-$\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids and the canonical maps $\Omega_i^*/G \to (\Omega_i/G)^*$ and $K_i^*/G \to (K_i/G)^*$ together with the identities on $\mathscr{G}/G$ and P/G, constitute an isomorphism of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over P/G.*

3 The dual Atiyah sequence

The Lie algebroid of a gauge groupoid is the Atiyah algebroid of the corresponding principal bundle, and this Lie algebroid is the central term of a short exact sequence of Lie algebroids, the Atiyah sequence. Accordingly, the dual of this Lie algebroid is the central term of a short exact sequence of vector bundles with Poisson structures. In this section we set up the notation needed for the study of this dual sequence. We also investigate the fibre structure of the symplectic leaves of the Poisson manifold T^*P/G using the notion of dual symplectic pair together with that of Atiyah sequence. The main results are collected in Theorem 15.

3.1 Principal bundles

We consider a principal bundle $P(M, G, \mu)$ where $\kappa : P \times G \to P$ is a free right action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold P, and $\mu : P \to M$ is a surjective submersion for which the fibres equal the orbits of G; thus $M = P/G$. As in the previous sections, we always assume that the bundle is locally trivial.

One may take the tangent right action

$$T\kappa : TP \times TG \to TP$$

of the tangent group TG on the tangent bundle TP.

Applying the tangent functor to the multiplication in G we obtain a group structure on TG, denoted here by $\bullet$. For $X_g \in T_gG$ and $Y_h \in T_hG$, we have

$$X_g \bullet Y_h = TL_g(h)Y_h + TR_h(g)X_g, \tag{8}$$

where $L_g(h) := gh$ and $R_h(g) := gh$. In particular for $X_e,\ Y_e \in T_eG$ and zero elements $0_g \in T_gG$ and $0_h \in T_hG$ one has

$$X_e \bullet Y_e = X_e + Y_e, \quad 0_g \bullet 0_h = 0_{gh}, \quad 0_g \bullet X_e = TL_g(e)X_e. \tag{9}$$

We see from (9) that the zero section $0 : G \to TG$ of the tangent bundle TG is a group monomorphism and one has the decomposition

$$TG = G \bullet T_eG \cong G \ltimes T_eG \tag{10}$$

of TG as a semi-direct product of G and the normal subgroup $T_eG \subseteq TG$.

We will use the following notations:

$$\kappa(p,g) = \kappa_p(g) = \kappa_g(p) = pg \tag{11}$$

where $\kappa_p : G \to P$ and $\kappa_g : P \to P$. Thus we have

$$T\kappa_p(g) : T_gG \to T_{pg}P \qquad \text{and} \qquad T\kappa_g(p) : T_pP \to T_{pg}P \tag{12}$$

for $g \in G$. The action $T\kappa : TP \times TG \to TP$ of the tangent group TG on the tangent bundle TP can be expressed by

$$T\kappa(p,g)(v_p, X_g) = T\kappa_g(p)v_p + T\kappa_p(g)X_g. \tag{13}$$

In the following two propositions we collect various equalities and bundle isomorphisms which will be useful in what follows. The proof of the first proposition is a direct calculation.

Proposition 11. *For $g, h \in G$ and $p \in P$,*

$$\kappa_g \circ \kappa_p = \kappa_p \circ R_g, \tag{14a}$$

$$\kappa_g \circ \kappa_p = \kappa_{pg} \circ I_{g^{-1}}, \tag{14b}$$

$$\kappa_{pg} = \kappa_p \circ L_g, \tag{14c}$$

$$T\kappa_{pg}(e) = T\kappa_g(p) \circ T\kappa_p(e) \circ \mathrm{Ad}_g, \tag{14d}$$

$$T\kappa_g(p)^{-1} = T\kappa_{g^{-1}}(pg), \tag{14e}$$

$$T\kappa_{gh}(p) = T\kappa_h(pg) \circ T\kappa_g(p), \tag{14f}$$

$$T^*\kappa_{gh}(p) = T^*\kappa_h(pg) \circ T^*\kappa_g(p), \tag{14g}$$

where $I_g = L_g \circ R_{g^{-1}}$, $\mathrm{Ad}_g = TI_g(e)$ and $T^*\kappa_g(p) : T_p^*P \to T_{pg}^*P$ is defined by

$$T^*\kappa_g(p) := \left(T\kappa_g(p)^{-1}\right)^*. \tag{15}$$

Write $T^V P$ for the vertical subbundle of TP; that is, $T^V P = \ker T\mu$, and $T^{V*}P$ for its dual.

Proposition 12. *There are the following isomorphisms of vector bundles:*

$$TP/T_eG \cong TP/T^V P, \tag{16a}$$

$$TP/TG \cong (TP/T_eG)/G, \tag{16b}$$

$$T(P/G) \cong TP/TG, \tag{16c}$$

$$T^V P/G \cong P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}\, G} T_eG, \tag{16d}$$

$$T^{V*}P/G \cong P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*\, G} T_e^*G, \tag{16e}$$

where $\langle p, X\rangle \in P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}\, G} T_eG$ *is defined by* $\langle p, X\rangle := \{(pg, \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X) :\ g \in G\}$.

Proof. Using the vector space isomorphisms

$$T\kappa_p(e) : T_eG \to T_p^V P \tag{17}$$

and the action of the subgroup $T_eG \subseteq TG$ on TP defined by

$$T_pP \times T_eG \ni (v_p, X_e) \mapsto v_p + T\kappa_p(e)X_e \ \in\ T_pP \tag{18}$$

we obtain (16a).

In order to prove (16b) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T\kappa_g(p)(v_p + T\kappa_p(e)X_e) &= T\kappa_g(p)v_p + (T\kappa_g(p) \circ T\kappa_p(e))X_e \\ &= T\kappa_g(p)v_p + T(\kappa_g \circ \kappa_p)(e)X_e = T\kappa_g(p)v_p + T(\kappa_p \circ R_g)(e)X_e \\ &= T\kappa_g(p)v_p + T\kappa_p(g)(TR_g(e)X_e). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Assuming $X_g = TR_g(e)X_e$ we find from (19) that the double quotient vector bundle $(TP/T_eG)/G$ is isomorphic to the quotient bundle TP/TG.

Since the fibres of $T\mu$ are orbits of the action (13) we obtain the vector bundle isomorphism mentioned in (16c).

Let us define actions $\phi_g : TP \to TP$ and $\phi_g^* : T^*P \to T^*P$ of $g \in G$ as follows

$$\phi_g(v)(pg) := T\kappa_g(p)v \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_g^*(\varphi)(pg) := T^*\kappa_g(p)\varphi \tag{20}$$

for $v \in T_pP$, $\varphi \in T_p^*P$. For the vector bundle trivialization $I : P \times T_eG \xrightarrow{\sim} T^V P$ defined by

$$I(p, X_e) := T\kappa_p(e)X_e \tag{21}$$

one has

$$\phi_g \circ I = I \circ (\kappa_g \times \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}), \tag{22}$$

where $g \in G$. Thus the bundle isomorphism $I : P \times T_eG \xrightarrow{\sim} T^V P$ defines the isomorphism

$$[I] : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G} T_eG \cong (P \times T_eG)/G \xrightarrow{\sim} T^V P/G$$

of quotient vector bundles presented in (16d). Dualizing (22) we obtain the isomorphism (16e). □

3.2 The symplectic dual pair

We recall that the canonical 1-form γ on T^*P is defined by

$$\langle \gamma_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle := \langle \varphi, T\pi^*(\varphi)\xi_\varphi \rangle, \tag{23}$$

where $\varphi \in T^*P$ and $\xi_\varphi \in T_\varphi(T^*P)$. Here $\pi^* : T^*P \to P$ is the projection of T^*P on the base P. We note that

$$T\pi^* \circ T\phi_g^* = \phi_g \circ T\pi^* \tag{24}$$

for any $g \in G$. From the definition (23) and (24) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma_{\phi_g^*(\varphi)}, T\phi_g^*(\varphi)\xi_\varphi \rangle &= \langle \phi_g^*(\varphi), T\pi^*(\phi_g^*(\varphi))T\phi_g^*(\varphi)\xi_\varphi \rangle = \langle \phi_g^*(\varphi), (\phi_g \circ T\pi^*(\varphi))\xi_\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*\kappa_g(p)\varphi, T\kappa_g(p) \circ T\pi^*(\varphi)\xi_\varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi, T\kappa_g(p)^{-1} \circ T\kappa_g(p) \circ T\pi^*(\varphi)\xi_\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, T\pi^*(\varphi)(\xi_\varphi) \rangle = \langle \gamma_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which means that γ is invariant with respect to the action $\phi_g^* : T^*P \to T^*P$ defined in (20). This action generates two maps:

$$\pi_G^* : T^*P \to T^*P/G, \qquad \text{and} \qquad J : T^*P \to T_eG, \tag{25}$$

where π_G^* is the projection to the quotient manifold and J is the momentum map defined by

$$J(\varphi) := \varphi \circ T\kappa_p(e), \tag{26}$$

for $\phi \in T_p^*P$.

In order to see that (26) is the momentum map for the symplectic manifold $(T^*P, d\gamma)$ let us take $X \in T_eG$ and consider the corresponding vector field $\xi^X \in \Gamma^\infty(T(T^*P))$, i.e. the field defined by

$$\xi^X(f)(\varphi) := \frac{d}{dt} f\left(\phi^*_{\exp tx}(\varphi)\right)\Big|_{t=0}, \tag{27}$$

where $f \in C^\infty(T^*P)$. For ξ^X one has

$$\langle \gamma(\varphi), \xi^X(\varphi) \rangle = \langle \varphi, T\pi^*(\varphi)\xi^X(\varphi) \rangle = \langle \varphi, T\kappa_p(e)X \rangle = \langle J(\varphi), X \rangle. \tag{28}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\xi^X \llcorner d\gamma = -d(\xi^X \llcorner \gamma) = -d\langle J, X \rangle. \tag{29}$$

The first equality in (29) follows from $\mathcal{L}_{\xi^X} d\gamma = 0$ and the second one from (28). Next, for $g \in G$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi_g^*\varphi) &= J(T^*\kappa_g\varphi) = J(\varphi \circ T\kappa_g(p)^{-1}) = \varphi \circ T\kappa_g(p)^{-1} \circ T\kappa_p g(e) \\ &= \varphi \circ T\kappa_{g^{-1}}(pg) \circ T\kappa_p g(e) = \varphi \circ T\kappa_p(e) \circ \mathrm{Ad}_g = \mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} J(\varphi), \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

which shows that $J : T^*P \to T_e^*G$ is an equivariant map. So, from (29) and (30) we conclude that $J : T^*P \to T_e^*G$ is the momentum map for the symplectic manifold $(T^*P, d\gamma)$.

One has the canonical Lie-Poisson structure on T^*_eG defined by

$$\pi_{L\text{-}P}(Tf, Tg)(\chi) := \langle \chi, [Tf(\chi), Tg(\chi)] \rangle, \tag{31}$$

where $f, g \in C^\infty(T^*_eG)$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the Lie bracket of the Lie algebra T_eG.

Since the symplectic form $d\gamma$ is invariant with respect to the action $\phi^*_g : T^*P \to T^*P$ of the group G, defined in (20), the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ of G-invariant functions $f, g \in C^\infty(T^*P)$ is also an G-invariant function. So, the quotient manifold T^*P/G is a Poisson manifold, the Poisson structure of which is defined by the quotienting of the structure on T^*P.

Remembering that the action of G on P is free, we conclude that for any $p \in P$ the map $J : T^*_pP \to T^*_eG$ is a submersion onto. Thus we see that the momentum map $J : T^*P \to T^*_eG$ is a surjective submersion. So, one has two surjective Poisson submersions:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & T^*P \arrow[dl, "\pi^*_G"'] \arrow[dr, "J"] & \\ T^*P/G & & T^*_eG \end{tikzcd} \tag{32}$$

from the symplectic manifold T^*P, such that the Poisson subalgebras $(\pi^*_G)^*(C^\infty(T^*P/G))$ and $J^*(C^\infty(T^*_eG))$ are polar one to another, see [2]. Therefore, the diagram (32) gives a full symplectic dual pair in the sense of the definition in Subsection 9.3 in [2].

Let us mention that π^*_G is a complete Poisson map, see Proposition 6.6 in [2]. The completness of the momentum map J follows from its G-equivariance. In the rest of the paper we will assume that G and P are connected manifolds. This implies the connectness of the fibres of π^*_G and J. Taking into consideration this assumption and the properties of the dual symplectic pair (32) mentioned above one finds (see [2]) that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the symplectic leaves

$$\mathcal{S} = \pi^*_G(J^{-1}(\O)) \tag{33}$$

of T^*P/G and the coadjoint orbits

$$\O = J((\pi^*_G)^{-1}(\mathcal{S})) \tag{34}$$

which are the symplectic leaves of T^*_eG.

3.3 The dual Atiyah sequence

Using the vector bundle monomorphism $I : P \times T_eG \to T^VP \subset TP$, defined in (21), we obtain the following exact sequence

$$P \times T_eG \xrightarrow{I} TP \xrightarrow{A} TP/T_eG \tag{35}$$

of vector bundles over P. The map I is given by (21) and A is a quotient map defined as

$$A(v_p) := [v_p] = v_p + T\kappa_p(e)T_eG, \tag{36}$$

where $v_p \in TP$.

Quotienting (35) over the G-action and using the isomorphisms (16b), (16c) and (16d), we obtain the Atiyah sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}\,G} T_eG \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "{[pr_1]}"'] & TP/G \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi]}"] & T(P/G) \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"] \\ P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G. \end{tikzcd} \tag{37}$$

where $\iota =: [I]$ and $\mathbf{a} := [A]$ is the anchor map, e.g. see [7].

It follows from $\mu \circ \kappa_g = \mu$ that $T\mu : TP \to T(P/G)$ is constant on the orbits of the action $\phi_g : TP \to TP$, $g \in G$. Note that all terms of the above short exact sequence have a Lie algebroid structure over P/G and the central term is the Atiyah algebroid. So, it follows from Lie algebroid theory (e.g. see [7, Chap. 3]) that the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} T^*(P/G) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}^*"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}^*"'] & T^*P/G \arrow[r, "\iota^*"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi^*]}"] & P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_eG \arrow[d, "{[pr_1]}"] \\ P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G. \end{tikzcd} \tag{38}$$

dual to the Atiyah sequence (37), is a short exact sequence of Poisson maps of linear Poisson bundles. Let us explain more precisely the above statement.

The action $\phi^*_g : T^*P \to T^*P$, $g \in G$, preserves the vector bundle structure $\pi^* : T^*P \to P$ of T^*P as well as its Poisson structure. Hence the quotient manifold T^*P/G is a vector bundle $[\pi^*] : T^*P/G \to P/G$ over P/G. The linearity of the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ on $C^\infty(T^*P/G)$ means that if $f, g \in C^\infty(T^*P/G)$ are linear on the fibres of $[\pi^*] : T^*P/G \to P/G$ then the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ has the same property. So, we have consistency between vector bundle and Poisson manifold structures of T^*P/G. The above is also valid for the Poisson structure of $T^*(P/G)$.

The linear Poisson structure of the bundle $P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_eG \to P/G$ is defined as follows. Let π_0 be the zero Poisson tensor on P and let π_{L-P} be the Lie Poisson tensor on T^*_eG defined by (31). The product Poisson structure $\pi_0 \times \pi_{L-P}$ defined on $P \times T^*_eG$ is invariant with respect to the action $\phi_g \times \mathrm{Ad}^*_g : P \times T^*_eG \to P \times T^*_eG$, $g \in G$. Hence one has on the associated vector bundle $P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_eG \to P/G$ the quotient linear Poisson structure. This property follows from the linearity and the Ad^*_G-invariance of the Lie-Poisson bracket defined in (31).

To conclude we mention that $\mathbf{a}^*$ and ι^* are Poisson maps and preserve the vector bundle structures in the short exact sequence (38).

3.4 Relationship between the symplectic dual pair and the dual Atiyah sequence

As we have shown in the two previous subsections the principal G-bundle structure $\mu : P \to P/G$ of P leads to two crucial, from the point of view of Poisson geometry, structures described in the diagrams (32) and (38), respectively. We now discuss the relationship between them. For this reason, using the isomorphism $I : P \times T_eG \to T^V P$ defined in (21), we consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to T^{V0}P \overset{A^*}{\hookrightarrow} T^*P \xrightarrow{I^*} P \times T^*_eG \to 0, \tag{39}$$

dual to (35), where the subbundle $T^{V0}P \subseteq T^*P$ is the annihilator of $T^V P$ in TP; that is, T^{V0}_pP consists of those $\varphi \in T^*_pP$ which satisfy

$$\varphi \circ T\kappa_p(e) = 0. \tag{40}$$

The vector bundle epimorphism I^* dual to I is related to the momentum map (26) by

$$I^*(\varphi) = (\pi^*(\varphi), J(\varphi)); \tag{41}$$

that is, $I^* = \pi^* \times J$ and the map $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_eG$ given by

$$\iota^* = [I^*], \tag{42}$$

is the quotient of I^* over the group G. We see from (26) and (40) that $T^{V0}P = J^{-1}(0)$ which implies that $T^{V0}P$ is a G-invariant vector subbundle of T^*P.

It follows from the Marsden-Weinstein symplectic reduction procedure [8], that $T^{V0}P/G = J^{-1}(0)/G$ is a symplectic leaf of T^*P/G corresponding to the one-element Ad^*_G-orbit consisting of the zero element of T^*_eG. Proposition 13 below shows that the dual anchor map $\mathbf{a}^* : T^*(P/G) \to T^*P/G$ defines a fibrewise linear symplectic diffeomorphism between $T^*(P/G)$ and $J^{-1}(0)/G$. The result can also be found in [11, 5.4]. Note that this fact follows also from the general theory of Lie algebroids, where the dual $\mathbf{a}^*$ of the anchor map $\mathbf{a}$ is a Poisson map from the symplectic manifold $T^*(P/G)$ to the symplectic leaf $J^{-1}(0)/G \subset T^*P/G$ which in this case is equal to $(\iota^*)^{-1}(P \times_{Ad^*_G} \{0\}) = T^{V0}P/G$. However it is interesting to prove this result explicitly.

Proposition 13. *One has the vector bundle isomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(P/G) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}^*"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & T^{V0}P/G \arrow[d, "{[\pi^*]}"] \\
P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G
\end{tikzcd} \tag{43}$$

which is also a natural isomorphism of the symplectic manifolds.

Proof. In order to describe $\mathbf{a}^*$ in an explicit way we recall that $\mu \circ \kappa_g = \mu$ and thus

$$T\mu(pg) \circ T\kappa_g(p) = T\mu(p). \tag{44}$$

Dualizing (44) and noting that $T\mu(p)^* : T^*_{\mu(p)}(P/G) \to T^{V0}_p P$ we obtain

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & T^{V0}_p P \\
T^*_{\mu(p)}(P/G) \arrow[ur, "T\mu(p)^*"] \arrow[dr, "T\mu(pg)^*"'] & \\
 & T^{V0}_{pg} P \arrow[uu, "T\kappa^*_g(p)"']
\end{tikzcd}, \tag{45}$$

where $T\mu(p)^*$, $T\mu(pg)^*$ are monomorphisms of vector spaces and $T^*\kappa_g(p)$ is a vector space isomorphism, for any $p \in P$ and $g \in G$.

From (45) we see that $\mathbf{a}^* : T^*(P/G) \to T^{V0}P/G$ is given by

$$T^*(P/G) \ni \rho \mapsto \mathbf{a}^*(\rho) = \{T\mu(pg)^*\rho : \quad g \in G\} \in T^{V0}P/G. \tag{46}$$

Now we will show that the canonical 1-form $\tilde{\gamma}$ of the cotangent bundle $T^*(P/G)$ is obtained as the reduction by $\mathbf{a}^*$ of the canonical form γ defined in (23). For this reason we choose a local trivialization

$$\psi_\alpha : \mu^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha) \to \Omega_\alpha \times G, \tag{47}$$

where $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \Omega_\alpha = M := P/G$, of the principal bundle $P(M, G, \mu)$. Using local sections $s_\alpha : \Omega_\alpha \to \mu^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha)$, where $s_\alpha(m) := \psi_\alpha^{-1}(m, e)$, we define

$$\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho) := T\mu(s_\alpha(m))^*\rho \tag{48}$$

the maps $\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha : (\nu^*)^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha) \to (\mu \circ \pi^*)^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha)$ which “trivialize ” the vector bundle isomorphism $\mathbf{a}^*$. Here $\nu : TM \to M$ and $\nu^* : T^*M \to M$ are the bundle projections.

Note that for $p = s_\alpha(m)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho) \circ T\kappa_p(e) &= (T\mu(s_\alpha(m))^*\rho) \circ T\kappa_p(e) \\ &= \rho \circ (T\mu(s_\alpha(m)) \circ T\kappa_p(e)) = \rho \circ T(\mu \circ \kappa_p)(e) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The last equality in (49) follows from the fact that the map $\mu \circ \kappa_p : G \to M$

$$(\mu \circ \kappa_p)(g) = \mu(pg) = \mu(p)$$

is constant on G. Thus we find that $\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho) \in T^{V0}P$.

Now for $\rho \in (\nu^*)^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha)$ and $\varphi = \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho) \in (\pi^* \circ \mu)^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle((\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)^*\gamma_\rho, \xi_\rho\rangle &= \langle \gamma_\varphi, T\,\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle = \langle \varphi, T\pi^*(\varphi)T\,\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, T(\pi^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle \\ &= \langle T\mu(s_\alpha(\nu^*(\rho))^*\rho, T(\nu^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle \\ &= \langle \rho, T\mu(s_\alpha(\nu^*(\rho))T(\nu^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle \\ &= \langle \rho, T(\mu \circ \nu^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle \\ &= \langle \rho, T(\mathrm{id})(\rho)\xi_\rho\rangle = \langle \rho, \xi_\rho\rangle = \langle \tilde{\gamma}_\rho, \xi_\rho\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we used the following equalities

$$\mu \circ \pi^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha = \mathrm{id}_{\Omega_\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad (s_\alpha \circ \nu^*)(\rho) = (\pi^* \circ \mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)(\rho). \tag{51}$$

From (50) we conclude that

$$(\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)^*\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \tag{52}$$

on $(\nu^*)^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha)$. The above shows that $(\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)^*\gamma = (\mathbf{a}^*_\mathbf{t})^*\gamma$ on $\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(\Omega_\alpha \cap \Omega_\mathbf{t})$. As a consequence we obtain $(\mathbf{a}^*_\alpha)^*\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$. ☐

As we have seen, the dual symplectic pair (32) gives a one-to-one correspondence between the coadjoint orbits $Ø \subset T^*_eG$ of G and the symplectic leaves $\mathcal{S} \subset T^*P/G$ of T^*P/G given by (33). Using the Atiyah dual sequence (38) we can investigate this correspondence in more detail. At first let us make the following observation:

Proposition 14. *For any coadjoint orbit $Ø \subset T^*_eG$, we have:*

$$J^{-1}(Ø)/G = \iota^{*-1}(P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} Ø). \tag{53}$$

Proof. At first we note that

$$J^{-1}(Ø) = \bigsqcup_{p \in P} (T^*_pP \cap J^{-1}(Ø)) = I^{*-1}(P \times Ø). \tag{54}$$

Since J and I^* are G-equivariant maps and $\iota^* = [I^*]$ we obtain (53) by quotienting (54) over G. ☐

We observe that the vertical arrows in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} T^*P/G \arrow[r, "\iota^*"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi^*]}"'] & P \times_{Ad^*_G} T^*_eG \arrow[d, "{[pr_1]}"] \\ P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G, \end{tikzcd} \quad , \tag{55}$$

which is a part of the dual Atiyah sequence (38), are the projections on the base of the corresponding vector bundle, whereas the map $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{Ad^*_G} T^*_e G$ is the bundle projection of an affine bundle over $P \times_{Ad^*_G} T^*_e G$.

In order to see that the fibre ${\iota^*}^{-1}(\langle p, \chi\rangle)$, where $\langle p, \chi\rangle \in [pr_1]^{-1}(\langle p\rangle)$ and $(p, \chi) \in P \times T^*_e G$, is an affine space over the vector space $T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$, let us take $\langle \varphi_1\rangle, \langle \varphi_2\rangle \in {\iota^*}^{-1}(\langle p, \chi\rangle)$. From $\operatorname{im} \mathbf{a}^* = \ker \iota^*$ it follows that there exists a unique $\rho \in T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$ such that

$$\langle \varphi_1\rangle = \langle \varphi_2\rangle + \mathbf{a}^*(\rho). \tag{56}$$

So, the vector space $T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$ acts freely and transitively on ${\iota^*}^{-1}(\langle p, \chi\rangle)$. Note that $\dim {\iota^*}^{-1}(\langle p, \chi\rangle) = \dim T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$.

The affine fibre bundle $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*G$ can be described in the groupoid language. Namely, let us consider the cotangent bundle $T^*(P/G)$ as a groupoid $T^*(P/G) \rightrightarrows P/G$ in which the source and target maps are equal to $\nu^* : T^*(P/G) \to P/G$. Then the dual anchor map $\mathbf{a}^* : T^*(P/G) \to T^*P/G$ is the momentum map for the action of $T^*(P/G) \rightrightarrows P/G$ on T^*P/G defined in (56). The orbits of this action are the fibres of $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*G$. From the above and from Proposition 14 it follows that all concerning (55) is also valid for the fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J^{-1}(Ø)/G \arrow[r, "\iota^*"] \arrow[d, "{[\pi^*]}"'] & P \times_{Ad^*_G} Ø \arrow[d, "{[pr_1]}"] \\
P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & P/G
\end{tikzcd} . \tag{57}$$

Let us mention that T^*P/G does not have a fibre structure over $T^*(P/G)$. However, choosing a section $\sigma : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_e G \to T^*P/G$ of the affine bundle $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_e G$ we obtain the vector bundle epimorphism $\tilde{\sigma} : T^*P/G \to T^*(P/G)$ defined by the action (56) as follows

$$\mathbf{a}^*(\tilde{\sigma}(\langle \varphi\rangle) = \langle \varphi\rangle - \sigma(\langle p, \chi\rangle), \tag{58}$$

where $\iota^*(\langle \varphi\rangle) = \langle p, \chi\rangle$. Note here that $\mathbf{a}^*$ is a monomorphism of vector bundles, thus the equality (58) defines $\tilde{\sigma}(\langle \varphi\rangle) \in T^*(P/G)$ uniquely.

The following theorem summarizes observations mentioned above .

Theorem 15. *(i) The Poisson vector bundle $[\pi^*] : T^*P/G \to P/G$ has the structure of an affine bundle $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_e G$ over the total space of the vector bundle $[pr_1] : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_e G \to P/G$, i.e the fibre ${\iota^*}^{-1}(\langle p, \chi\rangle)$ of $\langle p, \chi\rangle \in P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T^*_e G$ is an affine space over the vector space $T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$.*

(ii) The symplectic leaf $\mathcal{S} = J^{-1}(Ø)/G$ has the structure of an affine fibre bundle over over the total space of the bundle $[pr_1] : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} Ø \to P/G$ with the orbit $Ø \subset T^*_e G$ as a typical fibre.

(iii) *Fixing a section* $\sigma : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} \emptyset \to T^*P/G$ *we could consider the symplectic leaf* $J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ *as a fibre bundle*

$$\pi_\sigma : J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to T^*(P/G) \tag{59}$$

over the cotangent bundle $T^*(P/G)$ *with* $\emptyset$ *as the typical fibre. The total space and the base of* (59) *are symplectic manifolds. However, the bundle projection* π_σ *is not a Poisson map in general.*

(iv) *In the case when* $\emptyset$ *is a one-element orbit* $\emptyset = \{\chi\}$ *(such an orbit corresponds to a character of* G*) the bundle map* $\pi_\sigma : J^{-1}(\chi)/G \to T^*(P/G)$ *defines a diffeomorphism of manifolds, but it is not a symplectomorphism. The difference* $\omega_\chi - \pi_\sigma^* d\tilde{\gamma}$ *of symplectic forms, where* ω_χ *is the symplectic form of the symplectic leaf* $J^{-1}(\chi)/G$ *and* $\tilde{\gamma}$ *is the canonical symplectic form on* $T^*(P/G)$*, and is called the magnetic term, see* [12], [13].

If G is a commutative Lie group all the coadjoint orbits are one element sets $\emptyset = \{\chi\}$, where $\chi \in T_e^*G$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-1}(\chi)/G = \iota^{*-1}(P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} \{\chi\}) = \iota^{*-1}(P/G \times \{\chi\}) \\ = \{\langle\varphi_p\rangle \in T^*P/G : \ \varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) = \chi\}, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $\varphi_p \in T_p^*P$ and $\langle\varphi_p\rangle \in [\pi^*]^{-1}(\langle p\rangle)$. If $\varphi_p, \tilde{\varphi}_p \in T_p^*P$ satisfy

$$\varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) = \tilde{\varphi}_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) = \chi$$

then $\langle\varphi_p - \tilde{\varphi}_p\rangle \in J^{-1}\{\emptyset\}/G \cong T^*(P/G)$. Taking the above facts into account and identifying $P/G \times \{\chi\}$ with P/G we conclude the following

Remark 16. *If* G *is a commutative group then the symplectic leaves* $J^{-1}\{\chi\}/G$, $\chi \in T_e^*G$, *are affine bundles over* P/G *modeled over* $J^{-1}(0)/G \cong T^*(P/G)$*, i.e. for* $\langle p\rangle \in P/G$ *the fibres* $\iota^{*-1}(\langle p\rangle)$ *are affine spaces over the vector spaces* $T^*_{\langle p\rangle}(P/G)$.

A nice geometrical way to define a section σ is given by the choice of a connection form α on the principal bundle $\mu : P \to P/G$ (see [13]), i.e. such $\alpha \in \Gamma^\infty(T^*P, T_eG)$ that

$$\alpha_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) = id_{T_eG} \tag{61}$$

and

$$\alpha_{pg} \circ T\kappa_g(p) = \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \circ \alpha_p. \tag{62}$$

The conditions (61) and (62) imply that the bundle epimorphism $\tilde{\alpha} : TP \to P \times T_eG$ defined on TP by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(v_p) := (p, \alpha_p(v_p)), \tag{63}$$

where $v_p \in T_pP$, after quotienting by G gives the map

$$[\tilde{\alpha}] : TP/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}_G} T_eG. \tag{64}$$

The dual of (64)

$$\sigma := [\tilde{\alpha}]^* : P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T_e^*G \to T^*P/G \tag{65}$$

is the section of $\iota^* : T^*P/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} T_e^*G$ mentioned above.

4 Short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over gauge groupoids

Applying the constructions investigated in Section 2, we will construct two short exact sequences, (77) and (86), of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over the gauge groupoid $\frac{P\times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$. These are related to each other by the dualization procedure described in §2.4. Applying the results obtained in Section 3 we investigate the groupoid and Poisson structures of the objects involved (86).

4.1 The tangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid of a gauge groupoid

Let us consider the tangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T(P\times P) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "Tpr_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "Tpr_2"] & P\times P \arrow[d, shift right, "pr_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "pr_2"] \\
TP \arrow[r] & P
\end{tikzcd}, \tag{66}$$

of the pair groupoid $P\times P \rightrightarrows P$. Since

$$Tpr_1 \times Tpr_2 : T(P\times P) \xrightarrow{\sim} TP\times TP$$

is a vector bundle isomorphism we will identify the tangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $T(P\times P) \rightrightarrows TP$ with the pair $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $TP\times TP \rightrightarrows TP$. By $T^V(P\times P)$ we denote the vertical subbundle of the tangent bundle $T(P\times P)$ defined by the action

$$\kappa_2 : (P\times P)\times G \to (P\times P), \quad ((p,q),g) \mapsto (pg,qg), \tag{67}$$

of G on the product $P\times P$. In consequence $X\in T_eG$ acts on $(v_p,w_q)\in TP\times TP$ as follows

$$(v_p,w_q) \mapsto (v_p + T\kappa_p(e)X, w_q + T\kappa_q(e)X). \tag{68}$$

Note that $(v_p,w_q) = (Tpr_1(p,q)\times Tpr_2(p,q))v_{(p,q)}$, where $v_{(p,q)}\in T_{(p,q)}(P\times P)$, and thus

$$T^V_{(p,q)}(P\times P) := \{(T\kappa_p(e)X, T\kappa_q(e)X)\in T_pP\times T_qP; \quad X\in T_eG\}. \tag{69}$$

It follows from the properties of morphisms of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids which preserve the side groupoids, that $T^V(P\times P) \rightrightarrows T^VP$ is a subgroupoid of $T(P\times P) \rightrightarrows TP$.

The groupoids mentioned above form a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids as defined in §2.4.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^V(P\times P) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[d, shift left] & TP\times TP \arrow[r, "A_2"] \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[d, shift left] & \frac{TP\times TP}{T_eG} \arrow[d, shift right] \arrow[d, shift left] \\
T^VP \arrow[r] & TP \arrow[r, "A"] & TP/T_eG
\end{tikzcd} \tag{70}$$

Here each side groupoid is the pair groupoid $P \times P \rightrightarrows P$, and $\frac{TP \times TP}{T_eG} \rightrightarrows \frac{TP}{T_eG}$ is the quotient of the pair groupoid $TP \times TP \rightrightarrows TP$ by T_eG.

Now we define a $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \times T_eG \times P \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "\tilde{\mathbf{t}}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\tilde{\mathbf{s}}"] & P \times P \arrow[d, shift right, "pr_1"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "pr_2"] \\
P \times T_eG \arrow[r] & P
\end{tikzcd}, \tag{71}$$

over the pair groupoid $P \times P \rightrightarrows P$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}(p, X, q) := (q, X), \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{t}}(p, X, q) := (p, X), \qquad \tilde{\varepsilon}(p, X) := (p, X, p),$$
$$\tilde{\iota}(p, X, q) := (q, X, p), \qquad (p, X, q)(q, X, r) := (p, X, r),$$

where $p, q, r \in P$ and $X \in T_eG$, and the horizontal arrows in (71) are projections on the suitable terms of the product manifolds.

Let us define a map $I_2 : P \times T_eG \times P \to T^V(P \times P)$ by

$$I_2(p, X, q) := (T\kappa_p(e)X, T\kappa_q(e)X). \tag{72}$$

Proposition 17. *The map I given by* (21)*, and the map I_2 define an isomorphism*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \times T_eG \times P \arrow[r, "I_2"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\tilde{\mathbf{t}}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\tilde{\mathbf{s}}"] & T^V(P \times P) \arrow[d, shift right, "\boldsymbol{t}"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\boldsymbol{s}"] \\
P \times T_eG \arrow[r, "I"] & T^VP
\end{tikzcd} \tag{73}$$

of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over $P \times P \rightrightarrows P$.

We conclude from the above that I and I_2 trivialize the vertical subbundles $T^VP \to P$ and $T^V(P \times P) \to P \times P$, respectively. Let us also note that the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid isomorphism given in (73) is equivariant with respect to the action of the group G defined on $P \times T_eG \times P$ by

$$(p, X, q) \mapsto (pg, \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} X, qg) \tag{74}$$

and on $T^V(P \times P)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(T\kappa_p(e)X, T\kappa_q(e)X) &\mapsto ((T\kappa_g(p) \circ T\kappa_p(e))X, (T\kappa_g(q) \circ T\kappa_q(e))X) \\ &= ((T\kappa_{pg}(e) \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}})X, (T\kappa_{qg}(e) \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}})X)\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

where $g \in G$. From (70) and the isomorphism (73) we obtain the following short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P \times T_eG \times P \arrow[r, "I_2"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & TP \times TP \arrow[r, "A_2"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \frac{TP\times TP}{T_eG} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
P \times T_eG \arrow[r, "I"] & TP \arrow[r, "A"] & TP/T_eG
\end{tikzcd} \tag{76}$$

over $P \times P \rightrightarrows P$ in which all arrows commute with the action of G. Thus, after quotienting by G, we obtain the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\frac{P\times T_eG\times P}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[drr] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[drr, equals] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & & & \\
& & \frac{TP\times TP}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[drr] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[drr, equals] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & \\
\frac{P\times T_eG}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[drr] & \frac{P}{G} \arrow[drr, equals] & & & T(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \\
& & TP/G \arrow[r] \arrow[drr] & \frac{P}{G} \arrow[drr, equals] & & \\
& & & & T(P/G) \arrow[r] & \frac{P}{G}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{77}$$

over the gauge groupoid $\frac{P\times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$. The oblique upper and lower arrows in (77) give the Atiyah sequences for the principal bundles $P \times P \to \frac{P\times P}{G}$ and $P \to P/G$, respectively. Note that the last groupoid in the short exact sequence (77) is the tangent prolongation groupoid of the gauge groupoid $\frac{P\times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$.

4.2 The cotangent $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid of a gauge groupoid

Now let us dualize (77) in the sense of §2.4. Since dualization commutes with the action of G we start from the dualization of (76).

Proposition 18. *There are the following vector bundle isomorphisms:*

(i) $\operatorname{core}(TP \times TP) \cong TP$,

(ii) $\operatorname{core}(T^V(P \times P)) \cong \operatorname{core}(P \times T_eG \times P) \cong P \times \{0\}$,

(iii) $\operatorname{core}\left(\frac{TP\times TP}{T_eG}\right) \cong TP$.

Proof. (i) From the definition we see that $(v_p, w_q) \in \operatorname{core}(TP \times TP)$ if and only if $p = q$ and $w_q = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{core}(TP \times TP) = TP \times (\{0\} \times P) \cong TP$.

(ii) The element $(p, X, q) \in \mathrm{core}\,(P \times T_eG \times P)$ if and only if $p = q$ and $X = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{core}\,((P \times T_eG \times P)) = \{0\} \times P$.

(iii) An element $\langle v_p, w_q\rangle \in \frac{TP\times TP}{T_eG}$ is defined by

$$\langle v_p, w_q\rangle := \{(v_p + T\kappa_p(e)X, w_q + T\kappa_q(e)X); \quad X \in T_eG\}. \tag{78}$$

So, $\langle v_p, w_q\rangle \in \mathrm{core}\left(\frac{TP\times TP}{T_eG}\right)$ if and only if $p = q$ and $w_q = T\kappa_q(e)Y$ for some $Y \in T_eG$. Choosing in (78) $X = -Y$ we find that

$$\mathrm{core}\left(\frac{TP \times TP}{T_eG}\right) = \{\langle v_p, 0_p\rangle; \quad v_p \in TP\} \cong\ TP.$$

□

Applying the dualization procedure presented in Subsection 2.4 and Proposition 18 we see that the short $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid sequence (over $P \times P \rightrightarrows P$) dual to (70) is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^{V0}(P \times P) \arrow[r, "A_2^*"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & T^*P \times T^*P \arrow[r, "I_2^*"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & P \times T_e^*G \times P \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
T^*P \arrow[r, "id"] & T^*P \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & P \times \{0\}^* \cong P
\end{tikzcd} \tag{79}$$

where the dual A_2^* of A_2 is the inclusion map and I_2^* is given by

$$I_2^*(\varphi, \psi) := (p, \varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) + \psi_q \circ T\kappa_q(e), q) \tag{80}$$

for $p = \pi^*(\varphi)$ and $q = \pi^*(\psi)$. The map $I_2^* : T^*P \times T^*P \to P \times T_e^*G \times P$ is a groupoid morphism over the bundle projection $\pi^* : T^*P \to P$.

We note here that for $T^*P \times T^*P \rightrightarrows T^*P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{s}(\varphi_p, \psi_q) = -\psi_q,\\
&\mathbf{t}(\varphi_p, \psi_q) = \varphi_p,\\
&\varepsilon(\varphi_p) = (\varphi_p, -\varphi_p),\\
&\iota(\varphi_p, \psi_q) = (-\psi_q, -\varphi_p),\\
&(\varphi_p, \psi_q)(-\psi_q, \lambda_r) = (\varphi_p, \lambda_r),
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

as the source map, target map, identity section, inverse map and groupoid product, respectively.

Remark 19. It is easy to see that the involution $\delta : T^*P \times T^*P \to T^*P \times T^*P$ defined by

$$\delta(\varphi_p, \psi_q) = (\varphi_p, -\psi_q) \tag{82}$$

gives an isomorphism of symplectic groupoids between the pair groupoid $T^*P \times T^*P \rightrightarrows T^*P$ with the symplectic form $d(pr_1^*\gamma - pr_2^*\gamma)$ on $T^*P \times T^*P$ and the symplectic groupoid $T^*P \times T^*P \rightrightarrows T^*P$ for which the groupoid structure is given in (81) and the symplectic form is $d(pr_1^*\gamma + pr_2^*\gamma)$.

The groupoid maps for the groupoid $P \times T^*_e G \times P \rightrightarrows P$ are defined in the following way:

$$\mathbf{s}(p, \mathcal{X}, q) := q, \qquad \mathbf{t}(p, \mathcal{X}, q) := p, \qquad \varepsilon(p) := (p, 0, p),$$
$$\iota(p, \mathcal{X}, q) := (q, -\mathcal{X}, p),$$

and the groupoid product of $(p, \mathcal{X}, q),\ (q, \mathcal{Y}, r) \in P \times T^*_e G \times P$ is defined as

$$(p, \mathcal{X}, q)(q, \mathcal{Y}, r) := (p, \mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}, r).$$

The second component of the bundle epimorphism I_2^* defines the momentum map

$$J_2(\varphi_p, \psi_q) = \varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) + \psi_q \circ T\kappa_q(e) \tag{83}$$

for the cotangent bundle $T^*(P \times P) = T^*P \times T^*P$ whose symplectic structure is given by the canonical symplectic form $d\gamma_2 = d(pr_1^*\gamma + pr_2^*\gamma)$ and the action of the group G on $P \times P$ is defined in (67).

In order to see that $J_2 : T^*P \times T^*P \to T^*_e G$ is a momentum map we note that $(P \times P, \mu_2 : P \times P \to \frac{P \times P}{G}, G)$ is a G-principal bundle and apply the same consideration as for $J : T^*P \to T^*_e G$ in (26).

We note also that the vector bundle $\left(\frac{TP \times TP}{T_e G}\right)^* \to P \times P$ is isomorphic to the vector subbundle $T^{V0}(P \times P) \to P \times P$ which contains such $(\varphi_p, \psi_q) \in T^*_p P \times T^*_q P$ that

$$\varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) + \psi_q \circ T\kappa_q(e) = 0. \tag{84}$$

Hence we can identify the dual $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $\left(\frac{TP \times TP}{T_e G}\right)^* \rightrightarrows T^*P$ with the subgroupoid $T^{V0}(P \times P) \rightrightarrows T^*P$ of the dual $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid $T^*P \times T^*P \rightrightarrows T^*P$.

For any $g \in G$ one has

$$I_2^*(T^*\kappa_g(p)\varphi_p, T^*\kappa_g(q)\psi_q) = (pg, \mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}}(\varphi_p \circ T\kappa_g(e) + \psi_q \circ T\kappa_g(e)), qg), \tag{85}$$

where $(\varphi_p, \psi_q) \in T^*_p P \times T^*_q P$. Thus all horizontal arrows in (79) define G-equivariant $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid morphism. All groupoid maps and products for the groupoids in (79) are also G-equivariant.

So, according to Proposition 10, quotienting (79) by G we obtain the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over the gauge groupoid $\frac{P \times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*\left(\frac{P \times P}{G}\right) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\mathbf{a}_2^*"'] & \frac{P \times P}{G} \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & & & \\
& & \frac{T^*P \times T^*P}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\iota_2^*"'] & \frac{P \times P}{G} \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & \\
T^*P/G \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \frac{P}{G} \arrow[drr, equal] & & & \frac{P \times T^*_e G \times P}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & \frac{P \times P}{G} \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \\
& & T^*P/G \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "{[\pi_2^*]}"'] & \frac{P}{G} \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & & & P/G \arrow[r] & \frac{P}{G}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{86}$$

which is the dual of the short exact sequence of (77) in sense of the dualization procedure described in Section 2. In particular the first groupoid of (86) is the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid dual to the tangent prolongation groupoid of the gauge groupoid $\frac{P\times P}{G} \rightrightarrows P/G$.

4.3 Symplectic leaves of duals of Atiyah sequences

The results of Section 3, in particular Theorem 15, can be applied to the short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}_2^*"] \arrow[d] & \frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \arrow[r, "\iota_2^*"] \arrow[d] & \frac{(P\times T_e^*G\times P)}{G} \arrow[d] \\
\frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \frac{P\times P}{G}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{87}$$

of linear Poisson bundles; this is the dual of the Atiyah sequence of the G-principal bundle $(P\times P, \mu_2 : P\times P \to \frac{P\times P}{G}, G)$. Note that (87) is part of the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid short exact sequence (86). In (86) both ι_2^* and $\mathbf{a}_2^*$ are Poisson maps, and are $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid morphisms. Summarizing the above, we can say that the structures of groupoid, vector bundle and Poisson manifold are consistently involved in the diagram (86).

From the considerations in Subsection 4.2, especially of (86), we see that the core of the $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r, "{[\pi_2^*]}"] \arrow[d, shift right, "\langle \mathbf{t}\rangle"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\langle \mathbf{s}\rangle"] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[d, shift right, "\langle pr_1\rangle"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "\langle pr_2\rangle"] \\
T^*P/G \arrow[r, "{[\pi^*]}"] & P/G
\end{tikzcd}\quad , \tag{88}$$

consists of all $\langle \varphi_p, 0_p\rangle \in \frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G}$ such that $\varphi_p \circ T\kappa_p(e) = 0$, where $p \in P$. Thus, using (38), we have the following isomorphisms

$$\text{core}\; T^*(\tfrac{P\times P}{G}) \xrightarrow{\langle \mathbf{t}\rangle} J^{-1}(0)/G \quad \text{and} \quad T^*(P/G) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}^*} J^{-1}(0)/G \tag{89}$$

of vector bundles over P/G. In particular, the core of $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G})$ is isomorphic to $T^*(P/G)$.

The vector bundle $T^*(P/G) \to P/G$ can be regarded as a totally intransitive groupoid on base P/G and as such acts on T^*P/G by

$$T^*(P/G) * T^*P/G \ni (\rho_{\langle p\rangle}, \langle \varphi_p\rangle) \to \mathbf{a}^*(\rho_{\langle p\rangle}) + \langle \varphi_p\rangle \in T^*P/G. \tag{90}$$

Recall here that $\mathbf{a}^*(\rho_{\langle p\rangle}), \langle \varphi_p\rangle \in [\pi^*]^{-1}(\langle p\rangle)$. The moment map for the action (90) is $[\pi^*] : T^*P/G \to P/G$, i.e. $[\pi^*](\langle \varphi_p\rangle) = \langle p\rangle \in P/G$ and

$$T^*(P/G) * T^*P/G = \{(\rho, \langle \varphi\rangle) \in T^*(P/G) \times T^*P/G; \quad \nu^*(\rho) = [\pi^*](\langle \varphi\rangle)\}, \tag{91}$$

i.e. $(\rho, \langle\varphi\rangle) \in T^*(P/G) * T^*P/G$ if and only if $\langle q\rangle = \langle p\rangle$.

The action groupoid of (90) is $T^*(P/G) \lessdot_{[\pi^*]} T^*P/G \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$ and according to Proposition 11.2.3 in [7], valid for a general $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid, one has the short exact sequence of Lie groupoids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(P/G) \lessdot_{[\pi^*]} T^*P/G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
T^*P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & T^*P/G \arrow[r] & P/G
\end{tikzcd} \tag{92}$$

There are other crucial statements which we collect in the following proposition:

Proposition 20. (i) *The groupoid* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *is the symplectic groupoid of the Poisson manifold* $\frac{T^*P}{G}$.

(ii) *The symplectic groupoid* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *is a subgroupoid as well as a symplectic leaf of the Poisson groupoid* $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$.

(iii) *Therefore the symplectic leaves of* $\frac{T^*P}{G}$, *defined as* $J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ *are orbits of the standard action of the groupoid* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *on its base* $\frac{T^*P}{G}$.

(iv) *As a consequence of* (92), *any symplectic leaf* $J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ *is foliated by the orbits of the action of* $T^*(P/G) \rightrightarrows P/G$ *on* T^*P/G; *these orbits are the fibres of the affine bundle* $\iota^* : J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to P \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_G} \emptyset$.

Here (i) is a particular case of the theory introduced in [15].

The symplectic leaves $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ of $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ may be included in a sequence of morphisms of fibre bundles, shown in (93), similarly to (57).

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}_2^*"] \arrow[d] & J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \arrow[r, "\iota_2^*"] \arrow[d] & \frac{(P\times\emptyset\times P)}{G} \arrow[d] \\
\frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \frac{P\times P}{G} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & \frac{P\times P}{G}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{93}$$

So, Proposition 15 is valid in this case as well as in the case of symplectic leaves $J^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$, where $\emptyset \in T^*_eG$. From (86) we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{a}_2^*"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \arrow[r, "\iota_2^*"] \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] & \frac{(P\times\emptyset\times P)}{G} \arrow[d, shift left] \arrow[d, shift right] \\
T^*P/G \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}"] & T^*P/G \arrow[r, "{[\pi^*]}"] & P/G
\end{tikzcd} \tag{94}$$

Note that in diagram (94) only $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ is a groupoid. The target and source maps of $(T^*P \times T^*P)/G$ restricted to $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ define symplectic and anti-symplectic realizations of T^*P/G, respectively. (In general these realizations are not full.) Thereby, we have the family of symplectic (anti-symplectic) realizations of T^*P/G, parametrized by the coadjoint orbits $\emptyset \subset T_e^*G$.

Proposition 21. *The action of the symplectic groupoid* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *on the symplectic realization* $\boldsymbol{t}\colon J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *is a symplectic action; that is, the graph of the action*

$$T^*(\tfrac{P\times P}{G}) \times_{T^*P/G} J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \tag{95}$$

is Lagrangian and $\boldsymbol{t}\colon J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *is a Poisson map which is equivariant with respect to this action and the natural action of* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G})$ *on its base. Corresponding results with signs reversed hold for the action of* $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G}) \rightrightarrows \frac{T^*P}{G}$ *on* $\boldsymbol{s}: J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G \to \frac{T^*P}{G}$.

Proof. First consider the multiplication

$$\tfrac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \times_{T^*P/G} \tfrac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \to \tfrac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \tag{96}$$

in the groupoid $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$. This is the quotient over G of the groupoid $T^*P \times T^*P \rightrightarrows T^*P$ (with the structure from (81)), which is a symplectic groupoid with respect to the form $d(pr_1^*\gamma + pr_2^*\gamma)$, where γ is the canonical 1-form on T^*P; since G preserves the symplectic structure, $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G} \rightrightarrows T^*P/G$ is a Poisson groupoid. In particular, the graph of the multiplication (96) is coisotropic in $\overline{\mathscr{G}} \times \mathscr{G} \times \mathscr{G}$ where we write $\mathscr{G} = \frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G}$ for brevity.

The map (95) is a restriction of the multiplication (96). By (87), $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G})$ is $(\iota_2^*)^{-1}(0)$ and $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ is $(\iota_2^*)^{-1}((P \times \emptyset \times P)/G)$. Since ι_2^* is a morphism, it follows that the groupoid multiplication of an element of $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G})$ by an element of $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ is again an element of $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$.

Further, since $J_2^{-1}(\emptyset)/G$ is a symplectic leaf in $\frac{T^*P\times T^*P}{G}$, and $T^*(\frac{P\times P}{G})$ is a symplectic submanifold of $\mathscr{G}$, the restriction of (96) again has coisotropic graph, and by counting dimensions, is Lagrangian. Thus (95) is a symplectic action. ☐

5 Applications in Hamiltonian mechanics of semidirect products

In this section we investigate a few special cases of the results obtained in the two previous sections. We also indicate applications in the theory of Hamiltonian systems.

Let us begin with the simplest case when $P = G$. Then the dual Atiyah sequence (38) reduces to the well-known isomorphism of Poisson manifolds $T^*G/G \cong T_e^*G$. The interest of the situation becomes clear if one considers the reciprocally dual short exact sequences of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid presented in diagrams (77) and (86).

Setting $P = G$ in (77) we obtain the following short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids over the group(oid) $G \rightrightarrows \{e\}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
TG \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\iota_2"'] & G \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & & & \\
& & \frac{TG\times TG}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\mathbf{a}_2"'] & G \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & \\
T_eG \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \{e\} \arrow[drr, equal] & & & TG \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & G \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \\
& & T_eG \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "{[\pi]}"'] & \{e\} \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & & & \{e\} \arrow[r] & \{e\}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{97}$$

The central $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid in (97) is the gauge groupoid of the principal bundle $(TG, \mu : TG \to TG/G \cong T_eG, G)$. Note that the group G acts on the tangent bundle TG by the tangent lift of the right action of G on itself. The final $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid of the short exact sequence (97) is the tangent group $TG \rightrightarrows \{e\}$ of the group G. The upper short exact sequence in (97) is the Atiyah sequence of the principal G-bundle $(G \times G, \mu : G \times G \to \frac{G\times G}{G} \cong G)$.

Remark 22. The initial $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid in (97) has core zero and is a special case of the initial $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoid in (77). Note that there is no natural groupoid structure $T\mathscr{G} \rightrightarrows A\mathscr{G}$ for a general Lie groupoid $\mathscr{G}$.

The dualization of (97), according to (86), leads to the dual sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*G \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\mathbf{a}_2^*"'] & G \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & & & \\
& & \frac{T^*G\times T^*G}{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\iota_2^*"'] & G \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & \\
T_e^*G \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "\mathrm{id}"'] & \{e\} \arrow[drr, equal] & & & T^*G \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & G \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \\
& & T_e^*G \arrow[r] \arrow[drr, "{[\pi^*]}"'] & \{e\} \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & & & \{e\} \arrow[r] & \{e\}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{98}$$

in which ι_2^* and $\mathbf{a}_2^*$ are morphisms of Poisson manifolds.

We now consider the case in which the total space P is a Lie group H and $G = N \subset H$ is a normal subgroup of H. This includes the case just treated. So, $K := H/N$ is a group and one has the short exact sequence of Lie groups

$$\{e\} \to N \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} H \overset{\mu}{\to} K \to \{e\}. \tag{99}$$

We further assume that there is a global section $\sigma : K \to H$ of the principal bundle $\mu : H \to K$; we do not require σ to be a morphism of groups. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\sigma(e_K) = e_H$, where e_K and e_H are the neutral elements of K and H, respectively. Since $\sigma : K \to H$ is transversal to the fibres of $\mu : H \to K$, we define an isomorphism of principal bundles $\Sigma : K \times N \to H$ (trivialization of $\mu : H \to K$) as follows

$$\Sigma(k, u) := \sigma(k)\iota(u). \tag{100}$$

From (100) one obtains the decomposition

$$v_h = T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma)(k)\xi_k + T(L_{\sigma(k)} \circ \iota)(u)\nu_u \tag{101}$$

of $v_h \in T_hH$ on $(\nu_u, \xi_k) \in T_kK \times T_uN$ which defines the connection $\Gamma : TK \to TH$ by

$$\Gamma(k)(\xi_k) := T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma)(k)\xi_k \tag{102}$$

and the connection form $\alpha \in \Gamma^\infty(TH, T_eN)$ by

$$\alpha(v_h) = T(\iota^{-1} \circ L_{h^{-1}})(h) \circ \left(\mathrm{id}_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma \circ \mu)\right)(h)v_h, \tag{103}$$

where $u = \sigma(\mu(h))^{-1}h$.

Let us note here that $T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma \circ \mu)(h) : T_hH \to T_hH$ is a projection of T_hH on the horizontal subspace $T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma)(k)(T_kK)$ and $(\mathrm{id}_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma \circ \mu)(h)) : T_hH \to T_hH$ is a projection of T_hH on the vertical subspace $T(L_{\sigma(k)} \circ \iota)(T_uN)$ of the connection $\Gamma : TK \to TH$.

Dualizing $\Gamma : TK \to TH$ we obtain $\Gamma^* : T^*H \to T^*K$ which for $\varphi_h \in T^*_hH$ is given by

$$\Gamma^*(\varphi_h) = \varphi_h \circ T(R_h \circ \sigma)(k), \tag{104}$$

where $h = \sigma(k)\iota(u)$.

Note that the cotangent lift $T^*R_g : T^*_hH \to T^*_{hg}H$ of the right action $R_g : H \to H$ is given by

$$T^*R_g(\varphi_h) = \varphi_h \circ (TR_g(h))^{-1} = \varphi_h \circ TR_{g^{-1}}(hg) \tag{105}$$

and the corresponding momentum map $J_H : T^*H \to T^*_eH$ is given by

$$J_H(\varphi_h) = \varphi_h \circ TL_h(e) \tag{106}$$

and satisfies

$$J_H \circ T^*R_g = \mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} \circ J_H \tag{107}$$

for $g \in H$.

Since for $u \in N$ one has $\Gamma^* \circ T^*R_{\iota(u)} = \Gamma^*$ the map (104) defines the map $[\Gamma^*] : T^*H/N \to T^*K$ which is not necessarily a Poisson map.

Using (101) we obtain the explicit formula of the map $T\Sigma : TK \times TN \to TH$

$$T\Sigma(\xi_k, \nu_u) := T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma)(k)\xi_k + T(L_{\sigma(k)} \circ \iota)(u)\nu_u, \tag{108}$$

where $\xi_k \in T_kK$ and $\nu_u \in T_uN$, tangent to the trivialization map $\Sigma : K \times N \to H$ defined in (100). Since $T(L_{\sigma(k)} \circ \iota)(u)\nu_u \in kerT\mu(h)$ and

$$\mu \circ R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_K \tag{109}$$

we find that inverse of $T\Sigma$ is given by

$$(T\Sigma)^{-1}(v_h) = \big(T\mu(h)v_h, T(\iota^{-1}\circ L_{h^{-1}})(h)\circ(\mathrm{id}_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)}\circ\sigma\circ\mu))(h)v_h\big)\,, \qquad (110)$$

where $k = \mu(h),\ \ \iota(u) = \sigma(\mu(h))^{-1}h$.

The diffeomorphism $T^*\Sigma := ((T\Sigma)^{-1})^* : T^*K\times T^*N \to T^*H$ dual to $(T\Sigma)^{-1}$ takes the form

$$T^*\Sigma(\theta_k,\chi_u) = \theta_k\circ T\mu(h) + \chi_u\circ T(\iota^{-1}\circ L_{h^{-1}})(h)\circ\big(\mathrm{id}_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)}\circ\sigma\circ\mu)(h)\big) \quad (111)$$

on $(\theta_k,\chi_u)\in T^*_kK\times T^*_uN$. It factorizes T^*H into the product of cotangent bundles $T^*K\times T^*N$ and allows us to simplify the form of the momentum map

$$J(\varphi_h) = \varphi_h\circ TL_h(e)\circ T\iota(e) \qquad (112)$$

where $\varphi_h\in T^*_hH$. Namely, for $(J\circ T^*\Sigma): T^*K\times T^*N\to T^*_eN$ we have

$$(J\circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u) = \chi_u\circ T(L_{\iota(u)}\circ\iota)(e). \qquad (113)$$

For reasons which will be clear later we take the pullback of the momentum map (106) and the pullback of the action (105) on $T^*K\times T^*N$. For $(J_H\circ T^*\Sigma): T^*K\times T^*N\to T^*_eH$, where $h=\sigma(k)\iota(u)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(J_H\circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u) &= \theta_k\circ T(\mu\circ L_h)(e)\\ &\quad + \chi_u\circ T(\iota^{-1}\circ L_{h^{-1}})(h)\circ\big(\mathrm{id}_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)}\circ\sigma\circ\mu)\big)(h)\circ TL_h(e). \qquad (114)\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the explicit form of the action

$$T^*R_{(l,w)} := (T^*\Sigma)^{-1}\circ T^*R_g\circ T^*\Sigma : T^*K\times T^*N\to T^*K\times T^*N$$

we take $g=\Sigma(l,w)$, where $(l,w)\in K\times N$, and use the product formula

$$(k,u)(l,w) := \Sigma^{-1}(hg) = (kl, m(k,l)\varrho(l)(u)w)\,, \qquad (115)$$

where the cocycle $m: K\times K\to N$ and the map $\varrho: K\to \mathrm{Aut}\,N$ are defined by

$$m(k,l) := \sigma(kl)^{-1}\sigma(k)\sigma(l), \qquad (116)$$

$$\varrho(l)(u) := \sigma(l)^{-1}u\sigma(l). \qquad (117)$$

We simplify the problem assuming triviality of the cocycle $m: K\times K\to N$, i.e. we consider the case when $m: K\times K\to\{e\}$. Then $\varrho: K\to\mathrm{Aut}\,N$ is a group anti-homomorphism and $\sigma: K\to H$ is a group homomorphism. The group product (115) in this case assumes the following form

$$(k,u)(l,w) = (kl,\varrho(l)(u)w) = (kl,(R_w\circ\varrho(l))(u)), \qquad (118)$$

and H is the semidirect product $K \ltimes_\rho N$. The product (118) leads to the formula

$$T^*R_{(l,w)}(\theta_k, \chi_u) = \big(\theta_k \circ TR_{l^{-1}}(kl), \chi_u \circ T\left[(R_{\iota(w)} \circ \varrho(l) \circ \iota)^{-1}\right](u)\big) \tag{119}$$

for the action $T^*R_{(l,w)} : T^*_k K \times T^*_u N \to T^*_{kl}K \times T^*_{(R_{\iota(w)}\circ\varrho(l)\circ\iota)(u)}N$.

Taking in (108) $k = e$ and $u = e$ we obtain the isomorphism

$$T\Sigma_e(\xi_e, \nu_e) := T\sigma(e)\xi_e + T\iota(e)\nu_e \tag{120}$$

of $T_eK \times T_eN$ with T_eH and, thus the decomposition

$$T_eH = T\sigma(e)(T_eK) \oplus T\iota(e)(T_eN). \tag{121}$$

Superposing the dual $(T\Sigma_e)^* : T^*_eH \to T^*_eK \times T^*_eN$ of (120) with $J_H \circ T^*\Sigma : T^*K \times T^*N \to T^*_eH$ we find that the factorized momentum map

$$J_\Sigma := (T\Sigma_e)^* \circ J_H \circ T^*\Sigma : T^*K \times T^*N \to T^*_eK \times T^*_eN$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_\Sigma(\theta_k, \nu_u) &= (\theta_k \circ TL_k(e), \chi_u \circ T(L_{\iota(u)} \circ \iota)(e)) \\ &= (J_K(\theta_k), (J \circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k, \chi_u)) = (J_K(\theta_k), J_N(\chi_u)), \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

where $J_K : T^*K \to T^*_eK$ and $J_N : T^*N \to T^*_eN$ are the momentum maps for K and N, respectively. Combining (119) and (122) we obtain the following equivariance property:

$$J_\Sigma \circ T^*R_{(l,w)} = \left(\mathrm{Ad}^*_{l^{-1}} \times \mathrm{Ad}^*_{w^{-1}} \circ (T\varrho(l^{-1})(e))^*\right) \circ J_\Sigma, \tag{123}$$

for J_Σ, where

$$K \ltimes_\varrho N \ni (l, w) \mapsto \left(\mathrm{Ad}^*_{l^{-1}} \times \mathrm{Ad}^*_{w^{-1}} \circ (T\varrho(l^{-1})(e))^*\right) \in Aut(T^*_eK \times T^*_eN) \tag{124}$$

is an anti-homomorphism of $K \ltimes_\varrho N \cong H$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(T^*_eK \times T^*_eN)$.

Note that J_Σ is the momentum map related to the symplectic form $d((T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)$ where $(T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H$ is the pullback of the canonical one-form γ_H of T^*H by $T^*\Sigma : T^*K \times T^*N \to T^*H$. The subsequent proposition expresses $(T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H$ in terms of the canonical form γ_K of T^*K and the connection form α defined in (103).

Proposition 23. *One has the following equality*

$$((T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)(\theta_k, \chi_u) = (pr^*_K)_*\gamma_K(\theta_k) + \chi_u \circ TL_{\iota(u)}(e) \circ (\Sigma \circ [(\pi^*_K \circ pr^*_K) \times (\pi^*_N \circ pr^*_N)])_*\alpha, \tag{125}$$

where $\pi^*_K : T^*K \to K$ *and* $\pi^*_N : T^*N \to N$ *are the projections on the bundle bases, and* $pr^*_K : T^*K \times T^*N \to T^*K$ *and* $pr^*_N : T^*K \times T^*N \to T^*N$ *are projections on the corresponding components of the manifolds products.*

Proof. For $X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)} \in T_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}(T^*K \times T^*N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle((T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)(\theta_K,\chi_u), X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle = \langle(\gamma_H((T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u)), T(T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&= \langle(((T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u), T\pi^*((T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u))T(T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&= \langle(((T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u), T(\pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u))X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \qquad (126) \\
&= \langle\theta_k \circ T\mu(h) + \chi_u \circ TL_{\sigma(k)^{-1}}(h) \circ (id_{T_hH} - T(R_{\iota(u)} \circ \sigma \circ \mu)(h)), T(\pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u))X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&= \langle\theta_k, T(\mu \circ \pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle + \langle\chi_u, TL_{\iota(u)}(e) \circ \alpha \circ T(\pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma)(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we used (103). Now we observe that

$$\pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma = \Sigma \circ ((\pi_K^* \circ pr_K^*) \times (\pi_N^* \circ pr_N^*)) \tag{127}$$

and

$$\nu \circ \pi^* \circ T^*\Sigma = \pi_K^* \circ pr_K^*. \tag{128}$$

Substituting (127) and (128) into (126) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle((T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)(\theta_K,\chi_u), X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&= \langle\theta_k, T(\pi_K^* \circ pr_K^*)(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\chi_u, TL_{\iota(u)}(e) \circ \alpha \circ T(\Sigma \circ ((\pi_K^* \circ pr_K^*) \times (\pi_N^* \circ pr_N^*)))(\theta_k,\chi_u)X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&= \langle(pr_K^*)_*\gamma_K, X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle \\
&\qquad\qquad + \langle\chi_u \circ TL_{\iota(u)}(e) \circ \alpha \circ T(\Sigma \circ ((\pi_K^* \circ pr_K^*) \times (\pi_N^* \circ pr_N^*)))_*(\theta_k,\chi_u), X_{(\theta_k,\chi_u)}\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

which gives (125).

□

The first term in (125) is the pullback of γ_K and the second one, called the "magnetic term", is the pullback of the connection form α (superposed with $\chi_u \circ TL_{\iota(u)}(e) \in T_e^*N$) on the product $T^*K \times T^*N$. Let us note that $(pr_K^*)_*\gamma_K$ as a section of $T^*(T^*K \times T^*N)$ is linear on the fibres of T^*K and constant on the second factor of $T^*K \times T^*N$. Similarly, the magnetic term is linear on the fibres of T^*N, constant on the fibres of T^*K, but not constant on the base $K \times N$ of $T^*K \times T^*N$.

Using the diffeomorphism $T^*\Sigma : T^*K \times T^*N \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*H$ we can write the dual Atiyah sequence (38) for $P = H = K \ltimes_\rho N$ and $G = N$ as follows

$$0 \to T^*K \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}^*} T^*K \times T_e^*N \xrightarrow{\iota^*} K \times T_e^*N \to 0 \tag{130}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^*(\theta_k) = (\theta_k, 0)$ and $\iota^*(\theta_k, \chi_l) = (k, \chi_l)$. Hence the symplectic leaves of $T^*K \times T_e^*N$ are

$$T^*K \times \O \cong (J \circ T^*\Sigma)^{-1}(\O)/N = {\iota^*}^{-1}(K \times \O)/N,$$

where $\O \subset T_e^*N$ are coadjoint orbits of N. One obtains the symplectic structure of the leaf $T^*K \times \O$ by the reduction to ${\iota^*}^{-1}(K \times \O) \subset T^*K \times T^*N$ of $d((T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)$ presented in (125).

Since $J_\Sigma : T^*K\times T^*N \to T^*_eK\times T^*_eN \cong T^*_eH$ is a Poisson map of $(T^*K\times T^*N, d(T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H)$ into $T^*_eK \times T^*_eN$ with the usual Lie-Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{T^*_eH}$ of T^*_eH, any function $f \in C^\infty(T^*_eK \times T^*_eN)$ defines a Hamiltonian $f \circ J_\Sigma$ on $T^*K \times T^*N$. According to (123), if f is invariant with respect to the action

$$\{e\} \times N \ni (e, w) \mapsto (\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{Ad}^{-1}_{w^{-1}}) \in Aut(T^*K \times T^*_eN). \tag{131}$$

Then $f \circ J_\Sigma \in C^\infty(T^*K \times T^*N/N) \cong C^\infty(T^*K \times T^*_eN)$ defines a Hamiltonian system on the Poisson manifold $T^*K \times T^*_eN$. This is always so if N is an abelian group.

In this case the coadjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad}^* : N \to \mathrm{Aut}\, T^*_eN$ of N is trivial, i. e. $\mathrm{Ad}^* = \mathrm{id}$. So, its orbits are one-element subsets $\{a\} \subset T^*_eN$ of T^*_eN. Thus and from Theorem 15 it follows that $[\Gamma^*] : T^*H/N \to T^*K$ defines diffeomorphisms $[\Gamma^*] : J^{-1}(a)/N \to T^*K$, see also (59), of the symplectic leaves $J^{-1}(a)/N$, $a \in T^*_eN$, with the cotangent bundle T^*K.

From point (ii) of Theorem 15 we see that $J^{-1}(a)/N \to H \times_{\mathrm{Ad}^*_N} \{a\} \cong K$ is an affine bundle over K. The diffeomorphism $[\Gamma^*] : J^{-1}(a)/N \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*K$ preserves the fibre bundle structures, i. e. it covers the identity map of the base K. Let us note here that

$$J^{-1}(a)/N \cong (J \circ T^*\Sigma)^{-1}((0, a))/N \cong T^*K \times J^{-1}_N(a)/N \cong T^*K \times \{0\} \cong T^*K \tag{132}$$

The symplectic form ω_a of $(J \circ T^*\Sigma)^{-1}((0, a))$ is obtained as the reduction of $d(T^*\Sigma)_*\gamma_H$. So, from (123) and the diffeomorphism $J^{-1}(0)/N \cong T^*K$ we find that

$$\omega_a = d(\gamma_K + (\pi^*_K)_*A), \tag{133}$$

where A is a one-form on K defined by the connection one-form α and $a \in T^*_eN$, and $(\pi^*_K)_*A$ is the pullback of A on T^*K by $\pi^*_K : T^*K \to K$.

As an example one can present the case of the heavy top, where $K = SO(3), N = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\rho : SO(3) \to \mathrm{Aut}\, \mathbb{R}^3$ is the usual action of $SO(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. For detailed description of this case see for example [9]. For the description of the n-dimensional tops in the framework of semi-direct product Hamiltonian mechanics we refer the reader to [11]. One can find many others examples of application of semidirect product Hamiltonian mechanics in [5, 6, 9] which concern also infinite dimensional physical systems.

The short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids (86) in the case when $P = H \cong K \ltimes_\varrho N$ and $G = N$ assumes the following form:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*(K\times N\times K) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[dr, "\mathbf{a}^*_2"'] & K\times N\times K \arrow[dr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & & & \\
& & T^*K\times \frac{T^*N\times T^*N}{N}\times T^*K \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \arrow[drr, "\iota^*_2"'] & K\times N\times K \arrow[drr, equal] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & & \\
T^*K\times T^*_eN \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}"'] & K \arrow[drr, equal] & & & K\times T^*N\times K \arrow[r] \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] & K\times N\times K \arrow[dd, shift left] \arrow[dd, shift right] \\
& & T^*K\times T^*_eN \arrow[r] \arrow[drr] & K \arrow[drr, equal] & & \\
& & & & K \arrow[r] & K
\end{tikzcd} \tag{134}$$

where we used the following diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{gathered}
K \ltimes_{\varrho} N/N \cong K, \\
\frac{(K \ltimes_{\varrho} N) \times (K \ltimes_{\varrho} N)}{N} \cong K \times N \times K, \\
T^*(K \ltimes_{\varrho} N)/N \cong T^*K \times T^*_e N, \\
\frac{T^*((K \ltimes_{\varrho} N) \times (K \ltimes_{\varrho} N))}{N} \cong T^*K \times \frac{T^*N \times T^*N}{N} \times T^*K, \\
\frac{(K \ltimes_{\varrho} N) \times T^*_e N \times (K \ltimes_{\varrho} N))}{N} \cong K \times T^*N \times K.
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us note that we can consider (134) as a product of the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids (86), taken for $P = K$ and $G = \{e\}$, with the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{VB}$–groupoids (86) taken for $P = N$ and $G = N$. But we have to stress here that the Poisson structures in (134) are not the products of the respective Poisson structures and they depend on the anti-homomorphism $\varrho : K \to \operatorname{Aut} N$.

To end, we mention that one can consider the Poisson manifolds which are included in the upper dual Atiyah sequence of (134) as the phase spaces of some composed systems related to the ones presented in the lower dual Atiyah sequence of (134).

References

[1] R. Brown. *Topology and groupoids.* BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006. Third edition of ıt Elements of modern topology [McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968; MR0227979], With 1 CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX).

[2] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein. *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*, volume 10 of *Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Berkeley Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, CA, 1999.

[3] A. Coste, P. Dazord, and A. Weinstein. Groupoïdes symplectiques. In *Publications du Département de Mathématiques. Nouvelle Série. A, Vol. 2*, volume 87 of *Publ. Dép. Math. Nouvelle Sér. A*, pages i–ii, 1–62. Univ. Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 1987.

[4] J.-P. Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung. *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, volume 242 of *Progress in Mathematics.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[5] F. Gay-Balmaz and T. S. Raţiu, The geometric structure of complex fluids. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 42(2):176–275, 2009.

[6] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. S. Raţiu. The Euler-Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories. *Adv. Math.*, 137(1):1–81, 1998.

[7] K. C. H. Mackenzie. *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, volume 213 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[8] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein. Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. *Rep. Mathematical Phys.*, 5(1):121–130, 1974.

[9] J. E. Marsden, T. Raţiu, and A. Weinstein. Semidirect products and reduction in mechanics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 281(1):147–177, 1984.

[10] J. Pradines. *Remarque sur le groupoïde cotangent de Weinstein-Dazord. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 306(13):557–560, 1988.

[11] A. G. Reĭman, and M. A. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskiĭ. Group theoretic methods in the theory of finite dimensional integrable systems. In *Integrable systems, nonholonomic dynamical systems, A translation of* Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions, Vol. 16 (Russian)*, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1987.*

[12] S. Sternberg. *Minimal coupling and the symplectic mechanics of a classical particle in the presence of a Yang-Mills field. Proc. Natl. Acad, Sci. USA*, 74 (12):5253-5254, 1977.

[13] A. Weinstein. *A universal phase space for particles in Yang-Mills fields. Lett. Math. Phys.*, 2(5):417–420, 1977/78.

[14] A. Weinstein. *The local structure of Poisson manifolds.* J. Diff. Geom. 18, 1983, 523-557.

[15] A. Weinstein. *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 16(1):101–104, 1987.

[16] A. Weinstein. *Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids.* J. Math. Soc. Japan 40, 1988, 101-104.